From:

system@accelo.com on behalf of Tina Issa

Sent:

Tuesday, 25 September 2018 11:00 AM

To:

Subject:

Submission Details for Tina Issa (comments)

Attachments:

281828\_Letter\_ Tina Issa\_ 24.09.18.pdf

Confidentiality Requested: no

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements:

Name: Tina Issa

Email:

Address:

The brook, Now

Content:

Please see attached letter from Ms Tina Issa.

IP Address: - 141.243,33.161

Submission: Online Submission from Tina Issa (comments)

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view activity&id=281828

Submission for Job: #9552

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view\_job&id=9552

Site: #0

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view\_site&id=0



c.,	L	:	_4.
Su	D	let	CE:

Aerotropolis Core - South Creek precinct - Rezoning

From:

Sent: Monday, 24 September 2018 2:26 PM

To:

Subject: Aerotropolis Core - South Creek precinct - Rezoning

Aerotropolis Core - South Creek precinct - Rezoning

To whom this May Concern,

I am a concerned resident who will be affected by the South Creek Precinct and have just realised that the government is trying to be deceitful as usual. As shown on the maps, the non-urban zone is affecting over 240 properties as they class this as flood and the government is trying to zone this so our land is worth nothing so they can use it as green-space and non-urban. We purchased knowing that we had a small section of our land called 1 in 100 flood we have just learnt with all the maps that the government have provided for our property is now at High, Medium and Low flood lines and was changed without consulting residents in 2012 and I believe it was for exactly this reason....

How can they justify this by saying we are all non-urban and worth nothing and our neighbours across the street will be developed into sky rises and infrastructure, many people have lived here for decades and this issue is seriously concerning as it is causing anxiety and stress.

We would like you to question these government bodies as the meetings that they held for the residents were very vague and dishonest as they could not answer our questions with what was happening, how are we in Australia treated like this?

We understand and accept that a second airport is necessary along with all its infrastructure, we are currently zoned RU4 which is primary production small lots in Liverpool council NSW, however with the new rezoning that the government want to allow over 200 residents NON-URBAN, this will mean no further buildings or changes to our properties, how is this legal? This is my land which I purchased and I cannot build a chicken pen? How can the government force this?

We feel we are being sacrificed in order for the Aerotropolis Core to proceed.

Many of these 200 residents have now consulted Environmental lawyers and we are looking to do a class action suit if the government proceed, as their dealing with us have been very non transparent and we only see these changes benefiting NSW government at the sacrifice of residents.

We are requesting people like yourself and all the media outlets to help us bring this to light so we can have an enquiry on how and why they can just turn people's lives and financial positions upside down. As the government is advertising on how beneficial this development would be to ALL landholders and for us to find out, that will only be for some, we need help to ensure that the government bodies are held accountable and treat the average Australian land owner in this Aerotropolis core development fairly and with dignity. If the government needs this green-space land for the development to proceed, us land owners must be compensated at the same market value of the land in the blue/ yellow stripe zones which will be developed into high rises and other types of development.

I would appreciate to be contacted regarding this matter and happy to supply further information.

Kind Regards