From:

system@accelo.com on behalf of JACKSON WONG

Sent:

Tuesday, 30 October 2018 7:42 PM

To.

Subject:

Submission Details for JACKSON WONG (comments)

Confidentiality Requested: no

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements: yes

Name: JACKSON WONG

Email:

Address:

Content:

Dear Planning Minister, Planning Committee and the Governing Body,

I want to tell you a story. A story about a mother. A mother who was widowed at the age of 40 and was faced with extreme financial hardship that she had to shut down her family operated business without her husband along side her anymore. She also had to make an extremely hard decision of selling up her family home as well, cause she no longer could afford the home mortgage repayments. Overnight she had to let go of two assets, including the family home as she could no longer cope with supporting these mortgages, as well as raising two young children.

She made these extremely difficult decisions with the hope that one day she may provide far greater financial support for her kids. She sacrificed all the convenience and lifestyle choices for herself living in an urban community and decided to move to a semi-urban community of Kemps Creek (the one investment property she had at the time with her husband and now her principle place of residence for the last 20 years).

The mother was hoping. Hoping that one day all the 30-40 years of long parliamentary discussion/debate of Badgery's Creek airport will come to fruition and that her principle place of living, after sacrificing her family home and the comforts of an urban lifestyle, will increase in value to the point where she could provide financial support for her children.

It now of greatest disappointment to her, that her wish, her dreams and her aspirations as **each** something year old women, has been shattered with the department of planning rezoning her principle place of residence into â€oeNon Urban Land†. She has spent the last the last holding onto this property, making the difficult sacrifices and now being told her land is near worthless.

How is this possible? How is this ethical? … If the land was deemed to be Non Urban Land, then it should of never been sold to her in the first place.

I am writing this letter, as the son. I am greatly disappointed for my mother. She has sacrificed so so much. And with one decision, the last and of her endless sacrifice for her one dream, her one hope, has been utterly guttered to the core.

The acreage that she lives on is only affected by 1 in 100 year flooding in the back 10-15% of the property area. The majority of the property is not even affected by flooding. It is not fair, to have the department of planning fully brand her property "Non Urban†when she has been living there for the last 25 years and there has been no flooding at all.

Where is she going to move to now? She's in her and no bank is going to give her another mortgage to buy another property at her age. While the rest of Sydney property prices has risen through the

roof, with this one decision her piece of land is now near worthless with the â€oeNon Urban†branding.

There has to be some legal ramification for this decision. There has to be logical justification for this rezoning. There are many parts of urban Sydney which suffer from 1 in 100 year flooding, if not greater, which residential property preside over that land. Why is it then this can happen to my poor mother.

I urge you to reconsider the boundary for the "Non <u>Urban Zone</u>", at the very least be pulled back to the 1 in 100 year border at the back of my mothers property at the back of my mothers pro

Warm regards Jackson

IP Address: - 101.164.107.128

Submission: Online Submission from JACKSON WONG (comments) https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=291109

Submission for Job: #9552

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9552

Site: #0

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0