

From:

system@accelo.com on behalf of Richard Nowland

Sent:

Wednesday, 31 October 2018 11:37 AM

To:

Subject:

Attachments:

Submission Details for Richard Nowland (comments)
291289_Letter_Richard Nowland_30.10.18.pdf

Confidentiality Requested: no

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements:

Name: Richard Nowland

Email: none given

Address:

Content:
See attached.

IP Address: - 141.243.33.161

Submission: Online Submission from Richard Nowland (comments)

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=291289

Submission for Job: #9552

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9552

Site: #0

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0

CONFIDENTIAL - SECURITY INFORMATION

DATE: 10/10/70 BY: [REDACTED]

TO: [REDACTED]
FROM: [REDACTED]

CLASSIFICATION
10
20
30
40
50
60
70
80
90
100

1. [REDACTED]
2. [REDACTED]
3. [REDACTED]
4. [REDACTED]
5. [REDACTED]
6. [REDACTED]
7. [REDACTED]
8. [REDACTED]
9. [REDACTED]
10. [REDACTED]

11. [REDACTED]
12. [REDACTED]
13. [REDACTED]
14. [REDACTED]
15. [REDACTED]

16. [REDACTED]
17. [REDACTED]
18. [REDACTED]
19. [REDACTED]
20. [REDACTED]

21. [REDACTED]
22. [REDACTED]
23. [REDACTED]
24. [REDACTED]
25. [REDACTED]

26. [REDACTED]
27. [REDACTED]
28. [REDACTED]
29. [REDACTED]
30. [REDACTED]

31. [REDACTED]
32. [REDACTED]
33. [REDACTED]
34. [REDACTED]
35. [REDACTED]

30/10/2018

Bringelly 2556

Letter of Objection - Stage 1 handuse and
Infrastructure Implementation Plan -

Bringelly

Director, Aerotropolis Activation
Department of Planning

Firstly, I appreciate the time that Manges, [redacted] and [redacted] spent in answering my questions on [redacted] and [redacted] for subsequently confirming the key points of our discussion in writing.

I now wish to formally lodge objection to the proposed boundary between the Aerotropolis Core and South Creek Precincts as it relates to [redacted] Bringelly. I request that [redacted] be included in the Aerotropolis Core Precinct, **not** the South Creek Precinct.

The rationale for definition of the South Creek Precinct boundary in this location remains unclear. In the Manager's advice "the boundaries of the precinct reflect environmental considerations including the probable Maximum Flood level... Development of this land has the greatest potential to have environmental implications for the creek system". I note that under the Liverpool Local Environmental Plan, [redacted] is identified outside the Flood Planning Area (1% AEP Flood plus 0.5 metre freeboard). If new Flood modelling has been undertaken, I request the opportunity to seek expert peer

review. I also seek copies of other studies that identify any "environmental considerations" pertinent to [REDACTED] I am not aware of any environmental values relevant to my property that would warrant the land's inclusion in the South Creek Precinct. I also question the basis for your conclusion that development of my land could not be designed and managed to avoid potential environmental impacts on the creek system.

I look forward to receiving the requested studies for review.

I maintain that my land is free of any such environmental consideration and is both capable and suitable of sustaining higher forms of development. Again, I object to the South Creek Precinct extending to include land to the west of [REDACTED] in this location and request that [REDACTED] be included in the Heretropolis Core Precinct.

Yours sincerely

[REDACTED]