From:

system@accelo.com on behalf of Luigi Mangogna

Sent:

Wednesday, 31 October 2018 7:39 PM

To:

**Subject: Attachments:**  Submission Details for Luigi Mangogna (comments)

291482\_Luigi Mangogna.pdf

Confidentiality Requested: no

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements: yes

Name: Luigi Mangogna

Email:

Address:



## Content:

I Luigi Mangogna am writing to you regarding the troubling news I have heard since the release of the initial Aerotpolis Core Re-zoning plans in August. I am worried for the future of my property at the state of the future of the futur the property being deemed NON-URBAN in the initial rezoning plans sent out.

I was born in the small Sicilian town of Gibellina in 1940 during the height of the WWII, where ongoing hardship, devastation and destruction plagued the region for decades. The extent of this was so great that at the young age of 10. I finished my education in order to help my family by finding any work that I could. The ongoing turmoil from previous conflict and its effect on Southern Italy, forced myself at the age of 19 to move to this great country Australia in search of work and a better life.

with little money, possessions and no grasp of the English language, to start what would I arrived in become the next chapter of my life in this blessed country I now call home. It is here where I reunited with my brother who had arrived in 1952, as well as meeting the love of my life, my late wife Maria in 1967. Together we formed a partnership built on trust and hard work that resulted in our dream coming true in 1970, when we saved up enough Upon purchasing the land we were told money to put a deposit on a farm in that it right at the back property was 1 in 100 year flood zone, but that was never an issue for my wife and I as we used the land. Together we planted vegetables while raising two children, saving up for a better life. We made many sacrifices, with long days consisting of selling the vegetables we grew in the Sydney Markets at 3am, before I would start work in a factory at Auburn at 7am. I did this for 7 days a week, for many years.

What I don't understand is how by the stroke of a pen, that majority of my land, I have worked on, grown crops on, which are still done to this day, can be deemed NON-URBAN and essentially unusable. I can't comprehend how so much of my property has been consumed by this †green' zone due to a small section at the back of my 5 acre block, which leaves only the frontage as usable and developable land. This re-zoning just doesn't affect the value of my property, but my financial well being as this land is my source of superannuation and a major source of income for myself.

I am currently at the stage in my life that I would like to see a better future for my two children and 5 grandchildren. A future, where the sacrifices, the hardship and the pain that I and my late wife endured will be for something, however news of these initial plans has left myself deeply saddened, disappointed and quite mad about what might occur to the legacy I have built on this land since arriving to this great country.

It is also upsetting seeing my neighbours across the street deemed to be in the †golden†urban zone, as well as those directly opposite on the other side of the creek having no issues with their land being approved for medium to high-density residential subdivision. The proposed rezoning of land to Non-Urban has cause significant stress and anxiety for myself. I am having sleepless nights as my dream to leave a financial legacy to my children and

grandchildren is suddenly at risk of immense depreciation in value.

I am proud to have been able to help my son, his wife and their young family of 3 children build their dream home on my property. Financially, they could not afford this dream without my support. The devaluation of my property and the acquisition of land now suggest that my son and his family will be left without their dream home and the opportunity to afford to purchase and build elsewhere when this land is inevitably acquired for the Aerotopolis. How will the Land Acquisition (Fair Compensation) Act 1991 guarantee that I will receive fair and equitable compensation for acquisition of my land when it occurs. I am especially concerned about this, as my property has suffered from devaluation since the delivery of the current re-zoning proposal.

I am also concerned that at the Council presentation held at Bringelly Community Hall, Bringelly on 24 October 2018. highlighted South Creek is the â€oespine of the Aertropolis†describing it to be the most important aspect of the entire development as it will bring in cafes, businesses, parks and leisure and of course employment and tourism. How could there then possibly be such a proposal to turn this precinct into NON-URBAN flood zone land? This will directly affect not just me but many of my neighbours, friends and family who have made numerous sacrifices, as I and my wife did. Many of whom have resided in the area close to 50 years and will suffer extreme financial loss. should the 1:100 lines not be return to their original state and land be allowed to be zoned for developments like it was before this recent proposal. I appreciate there is a requirement for land to be retained for biodiversity means, infrastructure etc. However, there are significant areas of land owned by government bodies within the precincts that could be utilised for this very need. Why are hard working residents like myself, being affected potentially loosing our life savings?

I do not oppose the change but do submit that our land is zoned as developable land. I note Oran Park was once flood land yet this same land is now medium to high density residential land with a major shopping centre. Likewise, Horningsea Park, suburb of which my daughter lives was similarly within the 1:100 zone, yet it was developed and raised not to flood. These are only to name a couple of surrounding suburbs where â€oeflood and low lying landâ€ was approved as developable land.

In conclusions I would like to thank you for taking the time to review my submission to remove the proposal of Non-Urban and return the 1:100 floods to the original parameter. I appreciate that you are extremely busy, however would greatly appreciate a written reply to my submission in the near future.

O Re-zoning plans to August 1 am womed for the future of my property at "distributions" and with me the report being deamed NOT-URBAN to the initial rezoning plans sent out. Yours sincerely. Luigi Mangogna

IP Address: - 1.156.15.138

Submission: Online Submission from Luigi Mangogna (comments) https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view activity&id=291482

Submission for Job: #9552

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view\_job&id=9552

Site: #0

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view\_site&id=0

ne, yot these unital plans has left myself despirasidened, discopointed and quite med about y hat might occur b

The Director,
Aeotropolis Activation
Department of Planning

RE:- South Creek Precinct and Proposed Re-Zoning

I Luigi Mangogna am writing to you regarding the troubling news I have heard since the release of the initial Aerotpolis Core Re-zoning plans in August. I am worried for the future of my property at with majority of the property being deemed NON-URBAN in the initial rezoning plans sent out.

I was born in the small Sicilian town of Gibellina in 1940 during the height of the WWII, where ongoing hardship, devastation and destruction plagued the region for decades. The extent of this was so great that at the young age of 10, I finished my education in order to help my family by finding any work that I could. The ongoing turmoil from previous conflict and its effect on Southern Italy, forced myself at the age of 19 to move to this great country Australia in search of work and a better life.

I arrived in February 1960, with little money, possessions and no grasp of the English language, to start what would become the next chapter of my life in this blessed country I now call home. It is here where I reunited with my brother who had arrived in 1952, as well as meeting the love of my life, my late wife Maria in 1967. Together we formed a partnership built on trust and hard work that resulted in our dream coming true in 1970, when we saved up enough money to put a deposit on a farm in Rossmore, i.e. Upon purchasing the land we were told that it right at the back property was 1 in 100 year flood zone, but that was never an issue for my wife and I as we used the land. Together we planted vegetables while raising two children, saving up for a better life. We made many sacrifices, with long days consisting of selling the vegetables we grew in the Sydney Markets at 3am, before I would start work in a factory at Auburn at 7am. I did this for 7 days a week, for many years.

What I don't understand is how by the stroke of a pen, that majority of my land, I have worked on, grown crops on, which are still done to this day, can be deemed **NON-URBAN** and essentially **unusable**. I can't comprehend how so much of my property has been consumed by this 'green' zone due to a small section at the back of my 5 acre block, which leaves only the frontage as usable and developable land. This re-zoning just doesn't affect the value of my property, but my financial well being as this land is my source of superannuation and a major source of income for myself.

I am currently at the stage in my life that I would like to see a better future for my two children and 5 grandchildren. A future, where the sacrifices, the hardship and the pain that I and my late wife endured will be for something, however news of these initial plans has left myself deeply saddened, disappointed and quite mad about what might occur to the legacy I have built on this land since arriving to this great country.

It is also upsetting seeing my neighbours across the street deemed to be in the 'golden' urban zone, as well as those directly opposite on the other side of the creek having no issues with their land being approved for medium to high-density residential subdivision. The proposed rezoning of land to Non-Urban has cause significant stress and anxiety for myself. I am having sleepless nights as my dream to leave a financial legacy to my children and grandchildren is suddenly at risk of immense depreciation in value.

I am proud to have been able to help my son, his wife and their young family of 3 children build their dream home on my property. Financially, they could not afford this dream without my support. The devaluation of my property and the acquisition of land now suggest that my son and his family will be left without their dream home and the opportunity to afford to purchase and build elsewhere when this land is inevitably acquired for the Aerotopolis. How will the Land Acquisition (Fair Compensation) Act 1991 guarantee that I will receive fair and equitable compensation for acquisition of my land when it occurs. I am especially concerned about this, as my property has suffered from devaluation since the delivery of the current re-zoning proposal.

I am also concerned that at the Council presentation held at Bringelly Community Hall, Bringelly on 24 October 2018, highlighted South Creek is the "spine of the

Please DO NOT PUBLISE MY PERSONAL CONTACT DETAILS.

Luigi Mangogna

Aertropolis" describing it to be the most important aspect of the entire development as it will bring in cafes, businesses, parks and leisure and of course employment and tourism. How could there then possibly be such a proposal to turn this precinct into NON-URBAN flood zone land? This will directly affect not just me but many of my neighbours, friends and family who have made numerous sacrifices, as I and my wife did. Many of whom have resided in the area close to 50 years and will suffer extreme financial loss, should the 1:100 lines not be return to their original state and land be allowed to be zoned for developments like it was before this recent proposal. I appreciate there is a requirement for land to be retained for biodiversity means, infrastructure etc. However, there are significant areas of land owned by government bodies within the precincts that could be utilised for this very need. Why are hard working residents like myself, being affected potentially loosing our life savings?

I do not oppose the change but do submit that our land is zoned as **developable** land. I note Oran Park was once flood land yet this same land is now medium to high density residential land with a major shopping centre. Likewise, Horningsea Park, suburb of which my daughter lives was similarly within the 1:100 zone, yet it was developed and raised not to flood. These are only to name a couple of surrounding suburbs where "flood and low lying land" was approved as developable land. In conclusions I would like to thank you for taking the time to review my submission to remove the proposal of Non-Urban and return the 1:100 floods to the original parameter. I appreciate that you are extremely busy, however would greatly appreciate a written reply to my submission in the near future.

Yours sincerely,

Luigi Mangogna

Luigi Mangogna

NB:-

3

Astropolis' describing a to be the mod amportant argent of the politic development as 't will tiding to cated, oursinesses, pedra and toscure and of course employment and tourism from course their possibly be such a proposal to tail this predict may neighbour. There is not send to will directly effect and just onto that many of my neighbour. There's and farmly who have more immorances as I and my wife and. Many of whom have meaded in the area close to 50 years and wit suffer extreme farancest loss, should then 1 to0 times not be return to their original state and land or showed to be considered in the center to their original state and I appreciate there is, a normal for develops and the return to their original state and I appreciate there is, a normal for develops and the return to the following recent proposal. I appreciate there is a normal for develop and state of the povernorm that cours be utilised for the divertity returned bother within the program that cours be utilised for the years. Why are hard bother within the myself, bother when the integral potentials in the sayings?

do not oppose the change but do suppril that our trud is compt as developable land I note that force that was once noted and at this same tend is now medium to high dopany residences land with a major shouting common ultraviar. Homingses Para, suburb of which my daughter true was somethy within the 1,100 cond. Yell it was developed and and read not to flood. These are only to rains a pounte of sumounding

endurate where "floor I and flow tying land" are an royon as developable on a foundation of a conclusional would in a to thank you for mising the lane to review my submission to remains use proposal of Non-Later and remaining the TOD floods to the original parameter of appropriate that you are consensity busy, nowever would a early subsyline world to the reservoir and reservoir and the r

Visitebnia attio Y

To Superior Marin

sufferbases during