

From:

system@accelo.com on behalf of Elizabeth Saraikin

Sent:

Wednesday, 31 October 2018 8:25 PM

To:

Subject:

Submission Details for Elizabeth Saraikin (comments)

Confidentiality Requested: no

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements; yes

Name: Elizabeth Saraikin

Email:

Address:

Content:

To whom it may concern,

My name is Elizabeth Saraikin and I live in the South Creek Precinct in Kelvin Park, our lives have recently been overwhelmingly taken over by the future plans to become the south Creek spine for the Aerotropolis Core. My parents purchased our property back in 1988 and my sisters and I have lived here our whole lives going to school around the area, building heaps of cherish able childhood memories.

We purchase our land as â€oeFlood free†(1:100 years) in 1988 as RU4- a parcel of land for farming we have lived here for expease and our property has had minor flash-flooding due to the non-maintenance of South Creek. My family and I understand and accept that there will be another Airport is required due to the expansion and growth of our city. What I am opposed to is that the fact that we have been currently zoned RU4 now which is primary production small lots within Liverpool Council but with the new rezoning of Non-urban that the government has introduced to us, we have been devaluated overnight and our lives are now in chaos and are now anxious what the next plans the council will throw at us without warning.

According to the government zoning website, Non-Urban equates to non-use of our land, NOTHING can be done to improve it, NOTHING can be built or added to it, not even a chicken-pen! In the event of a disaster (storm damage, fire, etc.) our property cannot be repaired or rebuilt. At this stage, we do not even know if we can get insurance on our property. It may even be illegal for the residents of the South-Cree precinct to live in their own dwellings on their own properties! It is our understanding that no-one should reside on Non-urban lands, yet the State Government has said that we can stay on our land and DO NOTHING!! making us helpless.

NSW planning has not been forthcoming with the full details of the definition of â€oeNON-URBAN†zoning and we would like to know that we will be compensated at a fair market value which should represent the average sales in Bringelly's area at the time of the Government's acquisition of the land Currently we are zoned RU4 which is small primary lots in Liverpool Council, however rezoning to Non-Urban has devalued our properties drastically as we feel we are being sacrificed in order for the Aerotropolis Core to proceed.

We would like to question these government bodies as the meetings that they held for all the residents in the South Creek Precinct were very vague and dishonest as NSW planning have not been forthcoming with the full details with the definition of Non-Urban. Trying to acquire majority of these properties with restrictive E-zoning/non-urban on the basis of an environmental report that is not completely descriptive or available as non-one has seen it or a flood plain that that on-one knows about and not knowing when was this done? Could this be a sophisticated plan to obtain value capture to pay for all the infrastructure needed for the airport? Get us out as cheaply as possible, so then come back and either rezone for the developers so they will have interrupted views from multi-storey apartments and commercial buildings reaping more in prime locations minutes from a 24hr airport! All whilst us landowners are at a disadvantage. We live in Australia we pay our taxes and are law abiding citizens, and this is un-Australian- we have rights- we need to ask for a serious investigation here to bring to light the disgraceful lack of information, how we were informed in the first instance and why we were called to attend a Community meeting that gave us no information or answers. I would like to know what Private Land Ownership actually means in NSW and has this meaning been corroded over time through legislation.

Any of the residents have consulted legal aid, environmental lawyers and we are looking to do a class action suit if the government proceed their dealing to ascertain whether there is compliance with the †Environmental Planning and Assessment Act of 1979 Section 4.1.

There must be a way for the government to achieve it desire outcome and to alleviate the uncertainty stress and anxiety of the residents of the South Creek Precinct ALL landowners in this area should be entitled to equitable remuneration for their properties. It is unfair that some of us have an uncertain financial future when others have guaranteed security for themselves and their families.

I look forward to these considerations to be reflected in the stage 2 plans.

IP Address: - 120.152.52.223

Submission: Online Submission from Elizabeth Saraikin (comments) https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view-activity&id=291499

Submission for Job: #9552

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9552

Site: #0

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0