

[REDACTED]

From: system@acelo.com on behalf of Emmanuel Camilleri
Sent: [REDACTED] Friday, 2 November 2018 10:39 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Submission Details for Emmanuel Camilleri (comments)
Attachments: 291928 [REDACTED] Submission.pdf

Confidentiality Requested: no

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements: yes

Name: Emmanuel Camilleri

Email: [REDACTED]

Address:
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Content:

Please see attached PDF File

IP Address: - [REDACTED]

Submission: Online Submission from Emmanuel Camilleri (comments)

https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=291928

Submission for Job: #9552

https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9552

Site: #0

https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0

Emmanuel & Belinda Camilieri
[REDACTED]

2nd November 2018

Department of Planning
Dear Sir/Madam,

Western Sydney Aerotropolis Land Use Infrastructure Implementation Plan (WSA LUUIP).

I am the owner of [REDACTED] which is in the area of Agribusiness in the WSA LUUIP. I support the Agribusiness Precinct and believe it important for the success of the new airport

I am also a member of the **Western Sydney Airport Agribusiness Landowner Group (WSAALG)** which is making our group submission through Think Planners. I endorse this submission and also make my own extra comment on the WSA LUUIP.

I have the proposed OSO running through the middle of my land and, of course, my home is also affected by aircraft noise from the airport. I had expected the LUUIP Agriculture and Agribusiness Precinct would give some future options on my land – however I am devastated to find that my land has been inexplicably designated as potential conservation area and as well the rear is excluded altogether from the Agribusiness Precinct!

Classifying my land as 'potential conservation' is not logical or justifiable – as apart from everything else, it will have the OSO running through. The vegetation around my home is mainly cleared. It seems crazy and not at all justifiable to have a Conservation area on ecologically denuded land – which is between the commercial end of the airport and the AG Tech Precinct. Our vegetation is no different to the other areas in the region or even the airport. I simply don't see why our site has been selected as it is not environmental land.

As well, my land will be affected by fuel from the airport. And any extra wildlife would put flight safety at risk. It simply doesn't work to have a conservation zone beside an airport. It is not only unfair to me and my neighbours, it undermines the Airports safety and its connection to the Agribusiness Precinct.

Also, the Agribusiness Precinct needs to be a size and shape to allow a proper working Precinct. It should be extended to the west – which would include the remainder of my property and the land further west. The OSO is not a logical boundary for the Precinct. If it is eventually built, the OSO should be a central roadway and rail line to service the Agribusiness Precinct.

I would like the Agribusiness Precinct elevated to Stage 1. We are landowners with a common vision, covering an area of land around 1000h. Being in Stage 1 is important to attract investors. Also, planning for roads and infrastructure needs to start as soon as possible. The Agribusiness Precinct needs to be ready in time for the new airport to have a successful 24/7 operation for perishable food cargo when it opens in 2026.

Thank you

[REDACTED]
Emmanuel Camilieri

[REDACTED]
Belinda Camilleri