Submitted on Fri, 12/02/2021 - 08:43

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Submission Type
I am making a personal submission

Name

First name
Amanda

Last name
Banfield

I would like my submission to remain confidential
No

Info

Email
aj1967@gmail.com

Suburb
Glenfield

Postcode
2167

Submission file
submission-on-draft-glenfield-place-strategy.pdf

Submission
Please see attached submission.

I agree to the above statement
Yes

Submission on Draft Glenfield Place Strategy

I am against the proposed redevelopment of Glenfield as specified in the Draft Glenfield Place Strategy.

My primary concern is relation to impact on native flora and fauna.

While the strategy states there will be 'more trees' in the final development, the plan will require large numbers of established native trees to be felled and replacement trees will take decades to reach maturity. This will displace a number of native species from the area. I currently place wild bird seed and have a bird bath in my backyard in Glenfield. I have counted at individual 'sittings' 40 Sulphur Crested Cockatoos, 20 Galahs, 15 Corellas (both Tiny and Long Beaked) and similar numbers of Lorikeets. These birds will lose their homes and breeding areas in the redevelopment.

In addition, Glenfield marks the northern start of the southern "koala corridor".   While this is located on the eastern side of Glenfield and is not directly impacted by the proposed development, the significantly increased traffic caused by the Moorebank Logistics Park, Cambridge Avenue upgrade and increased population (estimated at 7,000) will create a significant increased risk to the koala population. The Moorebank Logistics Park and upgrade to Moorebank Avenue already bisects a "koala crossing" zone. Furthermore, there will be other native fauna in the same forest which will also be placed at increased risk by the development.

The proposed development will totally change the character of the suburb.

Glenfield is currently like a small patch of the countryside in a major metropolis. It provides a sanctuary to escape the 'hustle and bustle' of the city. The long commute is made bearable by the 'serenity' afforded by the suburb. The proposed development is a drastic change. The plan states zoning is planned to change immediately the plan is adopted. There does not appear to be a plan for a gradual transformation of the suburb which would minimise impact on both flora and fauna and the mental health of existing residents. In a time when we have already had to cope with a pandemic and its effects, the uncertainty of when I will need to move out of my home and the extreme disruption caused by large scale construction is ill-timed and not fully thought out in terms of resident mental health.

Your social media promotion of the Draft Glenfield Place Strategy is false and misleading.

Your Facebook advertisements for the strategy and associated consultation process are false and misleading (using the ACCC definition). The chosen visual representation shows a pedestrian mall with shops and only medium rise apartments of approximately 5 storeys. Yet your strategy, when studied in detail, is for up to 12 storey apartments for a significant portion of the suburb. In my opinion, it is unconscionable to use images such as this in your advertising. It portrays a false visual impression of the planned development and residents and other interested parties may believe the development is significantly smaller than the actual proposal and not investigate further. How can you obtain true feedback if you are not promoting the true picture?

Thank you for considering my submission.

Amanda Banfield
Resident