

From: noreply@feedback.planningportal.nsw.gov.au on behalf of [Planning Portal - Department of Planning and Environment](#)
To: [DPIE PDPS Glenfield Precinct Mailbox](#)
Cc: [DPE PS ePlanning Exhibitions Mailbox](#)
Subject: Draft Glenfield Place Strategy
Date: Saturday, 23 January 2021 12:43:30 PM

Submitted on Sat, 23/01/2021 - 12:42

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Submission Type

I am making a personal submission

Name

First name

Louise

Last name

Lauric

I would like my submission to remain confidential

No

Info

Email

Louiselauric@gmail.com

Suburb

GLENFIELD

Postcode

2167

Submission

Dear Project Team,

We write regarding the proposed Cambridge Avenue extension.

After attending the December online community consultation, we still have significant concerns and questions about the proposal and its impact on our community.

1. We are most concerned about the pollution and impact on air quality that would arise from the extension between Glenfield Rd and Campbelltown Road, as the extension/duplication appears to be primarily intended to provide 24/7 access for trucks and other heavy vehicles using the Moorebank Intermodal, and we understand that heavy diesel vehicles are more heavily polluting than light vehicles. The proposed road is close to residential properties and schools, and we are concerned about the subsequent impact of pollution on the health of the community.

Already, south western Sydney frequently experiences poor air quality, and increased air pollution caused by the extension would exacerbate existing impact on the health of our community. The existing M5 access and proximity from the Intermodal in Moorebank Ave, situated in an industrial zone, would not impact the health and air quality of the Glenfield community. It is unclear why "high volumes of both light and heavy vehicle traffic forecast from operation of Moorebank Logistics park" (p.5) of "6,600 heavy vehicle trips and 10,000 light vehicle trips per day" (p.6, Options Evaluation Report 2020) should be recommended to travel through residential and school communities, primarily for the benefit of the Intermodal.

We cannot see how the air pollution could be safely mitigated, and for this reason alone, strongly oppose construction of the extension.

2. We are also concerned about the impact of road noise for the adjacent properties / community, particularly given the Intermodal is a 24/7 operation. What proposed steps would be taken to mitigate this for residents, if the project was approved? (i.e. double glazed windows, walls etc). We note that a number of residents, including us, are likely to continue working from home post-COVID, so the noise would impact, during the day as well as at night.
3. Visual impact. We chose this area to enjoy the green space and environment, with areas of vegetation, quiet streets, and low pollution. We are also concerned about environmental impact and wish to hear more about the known impact on the environment.

4. We understand this extension requires compulsory acquisition of properties that have been newly constructed, and express concern for those residents. It is appalling that poor planning & lack of transparency has meant that people bought their properties in good faith, unaware of this proposal. We also note that the proposed new bridge over the railway line which will run over acquired properties will have a significant impact on other nearby properties. .

5. We are concerned about the impact on house and land values of remaining properties that are adjacent to the proposed road - given the road will impact dust, air quality and noise during construction and when operational. Can you provide any reports on how this may impact values?

6. We regularly use the pedestrian access to Glenfield station by walking from the end of Perseus Lane / Three Bees Drive and along Glenfield Rd (under power lines). We are concerned that the walking travel time for commutes would be extended and we would have increased exposure to traffic pollution.

Finally, we attended the first Facebook community consultation and wish to provide feedback on its operation. We suggest that questions submitted be thematically organised by a member of your team to enable most questions to be answered. At this consultation, questions and comments were answered sequentially and sometimes repeated answers already provided, and it did not allow for some of the meaningful questions submitted (e.g. on air quality and environmental impacts) to be answered. Explanation of the process was not given at the beginning of the consultation i.e. that questions

could be submitted during the live chat, and so it appeared that the initial posts made by attendees were comments rather than questions.

Thank you for your response and consideration of our above concerns.

Kind regards,
Louise Lauric & household
Three Bees Drive, Glenfield

I agree to the above statement
Yes