

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: Webform submission from: Western Sydney Aerotropolis Planning Package
Date: Friday, 14 February 2020 3:14:51 PM

From: [REDACTED] **On Behalf Of** DPE PS
ePlanning Exhibitions Mailbox
Sent: Monday, 13 January 2020 12:39 PM
To: PPO Engagement <engagement@ppo.nsw.gov.au>
Subject: FW: Webform submission from: Western Sydney Aerotropolis Planning Package

From: noreply@feedback.planningportal.nsw.gov.au
<noreply@feedback.planningportal.nsw.gov.au>
Sent: Monday, 13 January 2020 11:39 AM
To: DPE PS ePlanning Exhibitions Mailbox <eplanning.exhibitions@planning.nsw.gov.au>
Subject: Webform submission from: Western Sydney Aerotropolis Planning Package

Submitted on Mon, 13/01/2020 - 11:38

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Submission Type: I am making a personal submission

First Name: [REDACTED]

Last Name: [REDACTED]

Name Withheld: Yes

Email: [REDACTED]

Suburb/Town & Postcode: Bringelly 2556

Submission file: [webform_submission:values:submission_file]

Submission: Please do not publish my name or email address. I wish to strong oppose the proposal in the Stage 2 Western Sydney Aerotropolis Plan to re-zone privately owned land along W-SCP to Environmental Recreation and the government not acquire the land for the purpose of public use or creating a sort of national park and leaving this land under private ownership to achieve what the Government wants, a green spine. This is unfair and unjust. As one of the senior Italian residents stated at the last Community meeting in December, 2019 , attended by hundred's of residents "if the Government cannot afford to acquire ALL the land within the South Creek Precinct, green zone for the purpose of Environment & Recreation, then it cannot afford to build the airport/Aerotropolis"! - The shear size of the area proposed for W-SCP would be impossible to maintain and already has a huge volume of fuel load on the ground and surrounds, as it has been neglected for decades and lack of rainfall (drought/climate change). Our Prime Minister Scott Morrison recently stated that future planning near urban interface needs to have another re-think so as to try and reduce the risk of the horrible fires we have witnessed across all states, which has seen loss of life, loss of over a thousand homes and a loss of millions of wildlife. It is my view that it would be irresponsible to not address the issues that have come to light recently and learn from these mistakes and not forgetting we also have a fuel

storing 24 hour Airport going in right next to nearly a 2 HA parcel of already dry land. Doing the same old formula or tick a quota sheet, has proven not to work and puts lives, homes and wildlife at risk, the very things we are meant to preserve. The Planning Department needs to look at other manageable, realistic ways to achieve a Parkland City, that is spread across the whole Parkland city fairly and manageable like Harrington Park where a sufficient central green parkland space with bike/walk tracks and large water body is achieved and is manageable and fire crews can access the area very quickly and its water, and is accessible to emergency services - not a vast tract of un kept land with varying ownership and accessibility issues. Liverpool City Council LEPP's allows development outside "the dark blue -high flood zones - on its website") with certain restrictions, the high flood zone should be the boundary for the W-SCP as this land cannot be developed. Land outside the high flood zone, the other various shaded area of light blue and green is developable land with restrictions and is the case across the whole of the Liverpool City other areas and should be the case with W-SCP too to maintain consistency. It would also help to reduce the size and cost of the acquisitions. Thank you, Liam Markuse

URL: <https://pp.planningportal.nsw.gov.au/draftplans/exhibition/western-sydney-aerotropolis-planning-package>

