

Submitted on Mon, 10/02/2020 - 10:32

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Submission Type:I am making a personal submission

First Name: Malake

Last Name: Khaled

Name Withheld: No

Email: [REDACTED]

Suburb/Town & Postcode: Bringelly

Submission file:

Submission:

Malake Khaled

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

To whom it may concern,

I writing to object to the recent draft plan of the Western Sydney Aerotropolis. I firmly disagree with the decisions that have been made to amend the zoning category of our property. In LUIP Stage one, my property was zoned for Mixed Use. This was felt to be an appropriate category for the location of our property. Unfortunately, in current draft of the plans, the zoning category for our property has been amended to indicate it as Enterprise. I'm deeply dissatisfied with this latest decision that has been made in regards to my property and ask that the Planning Partnership reinstate my property to Mixed Use for the following reasons:

1. I'm away from the ANEC 20 and therefore are not impacted by noise contour or obstructing airport operation
2. Any building design will meet all the requirements under the NASF guideline
3. My property away from traffic
4. We are in close proximity to the Aerotropolis Core CBD
5. My property lies in close proximity to the planned railway station
6. My property is also on the higher/more elevated side of the Aerotropolis
7. I'm not near or under the flight path
8. I'm away from flood lands. If recent rain any indication, the Aerotropolis CBD should be in my location as higher grounds not lower grounds are the appropriate place for the Aerotropolis.

I ask the Planning Partnership to reconsider the Stage 2 zoning proposal to allow for an increase in size of Mixed Use zoned areas to ensure future viability of the Aerotropolis Core as a vibrant place to live and work. By limiting Mixed Use areas, we are concerned that this would limit the potential of the aerotropolis. We believe the Aerotropolis CBD would benefit greatly from greater numbers of people living and working in and around it, to ensure it can be the vibrant city being promised.

We hope the Planning Partnership reconsiders this decision and look forward to a positive outcome.