



**Subject:**  
**Date:**

FW: Webform submission from: Western Sydney Aerotropolis Planning Package  
Wednesday, 26 February 2020 11:25:37 AM

---

[Redacted]

**Sent:** Tuesday, 18 February 2020 8:13 AM

**To:** PPO Engagement <engagement@ppo.nsw.gov.au>; [Redacted]

**Subject:** FW: Webform submission from: Western Sydney Aerotropolis Planning Package

---

**From:** [noreply@feedback.planningportal.nsw.gov.au](mailto:noreply@feedback.planningportal.nsw.gov.au)

<[noreply@feedback.planningportal.nsw.gov.au](mailto:noreply@feedback.planningportal.nsw.gov.au)>

**Sent:** Monday, 17 February 2020 10:49 PM

**To:** DPE PS ePlanning Exhibitions Mailbox <[eplanning.exhibitions@planning.nsw.gov.au](mailto:eplanning.exhibitions@planning.nsw.gov.au)>

**Subject:** Webform submission from: Western Sydney Aerotropolis Planning Package

Submitted on Mon, 17/02/2020 - 22:45

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Submission Type: I am making a personal submission

First Name: [Redacted]

Last Name: [Redacted]

Name Withheld: Yes

Email: [Redacted]

Suburb/Town & Postcode: Bringelly 2556

Submission file: [webform\_submission:values:submission\_file]

Submission: [Redacted] Bringelly 2556 17.2.2020 To whom it may concern, My name is [Redacted] and I live at [Redacted] Bringelly. My husband [Redacted] and son [Redacted] and his partner [Redacted] also reside at this address. We have lived at this address for about 15 years and did extensive renovations on the house, all with approval through Liverpool City Council. We knew at the time of purchase that the back section of our property was in a 1 in 100 flood zone, but would not have completely renovated the house and land had we been given crucial information about this Aerotropolis development. Whilst we are not opposed to the airport, we are disgusted by the lack of communication through this whole process and strongly feel the community have purposely been left without precise, clearly defined plans and information about the sequence and progression of the Aerotropolis, nor regarding the purchase of our privately owned land. Our family does not agree to the proposal of the South Creek Precinct. We feel it is unfair to achieve more green space at the expense of land-owners and at no cost to the government. Also the value of our land has been negatively impacted by both the South Creek Precinct proposals to date. We do not agree with re-zoning the land Environmental/Recreational and leaving it under private ownership. There is ample

green space available at Rossmore Grange which should be utilized instead of taking our land we have worked hard to purchase and improve. In addition, we do not agree with the Thompson Creek Proposal on outside flood affected zones when State owns 114 Hectares of OTC government land. Similarly, we do not agree with the outdated flood study being used for this proposal. In particular, it should be noted there has been an increase in surface run off in our street due to developments such as housing at Oran Park and the construction of the roads and bridges along The Northern Road and Bringelly Rd and channeling into South Creek. Greater volumes of water are running down from the Northern Rd and along the surface, through our neighbour across the roads yard, and pooling on the road in front of our property, as no proper drainage has been provided by council to address this run off. We strongly believe that any land that gets re-zoned as Environmental/Recreation (Green Belt) must be purchased by the Government as RE1 land as per the JUST TERMS ACT COMPENSATION. We demand to be fairly compensated for our land as it is integral to this proposal. In addition, we feel the future purpose for the zoning has not been defined to an acceptable level or with the correct community input. Our family is opposed to a new zoning of Environmental/Recreational for the Aerotropolis which to date has not been clearly defined. The residents have not been given sufficient information. Simialrly, Rossmore grange is 200acres currently zoned RE1 and is owned by Liverpool City council. THIS LAND SHOULD BE USED FIRST for any green space that is required, not by taking land from us hard working property owners!!! As mentioned, we oppose any type of E-Zone as there is very little environmental significance in the area. As stated in my previous submission, my family will be greatly impacted by this new proposal. My adult children have significant health issues due to their Myatonia Dystrophy, so it is essential we are fairly compensated for our land so we can ensure affording their future health treatment and be able to re-purchase within this area to access their care services and support their future families. For all of the above reasons we do not agree with the proposal of The South Creek Precinct. [REDACTED]

URL: <https://pp.planningportal.nsw.gov.au/draftplans/exhibition/western-sydney-aerotropolis-planning-package>

[REDACTED]