



Subject:
Date:

FW: Webform submission from: Western Sydney Aerotropolis Planning Package
Monday, 9 March 2020 10:49:39 AM

[Redacted]

Sent: Wednesday, 26 February 2020 11:16 AM

To: PPO Engagement <engagement@ppo.nsw.gov.au>; [Redacted]

Subject: FW: Webform submission from: Western Sydney Aerotropolis Planning Package

[Redacted]

Sent: Tuesday, 25 February 2020 8:21 PM

To: DPE PS ePlanning Exhibitions Mailbox [Redacted]

Subject: Webform submission from: Western Sydney Aerotropolis Planning Package

Submitted on Tue, 25/02/2020 - 20:21

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Submission Type: I am making a personal submission

First Name: Neeta

Last Name: Vaishnav

Name Withheld: No

Email: [Redacted]

Suburb/Town & Postcode: Bringelly

Submission file: [webform_submission:values:submission_file]

Submission: As residents and owners of a property located near the south creek, we have concluded that this current proposal can not be finalised as it has been based on outdated information and improper management of data. As a way to provide fairness, as well as further the development of the aerotropolis core and the surrounding environment, I have a few suggestions. 1) The 1:100 'flooding' precinct (Green Spine) has been based on data from 2004. The land has changed in the past 16 years, and new assessments and testing must be done in order to be fair to landowners. Another issue with this is the lack of consideration towards people living on the properties along the spine, as no government official has come forward to clarify what we will get out of our valued homes. If the government can buy the land they intend to use as the green spine supporting a major development, residents will be much happier knowing they are financially secured. 2) The flooding that may have been recorded in the past few years is an inaccurate representation of the land's shape, as the south creek is littered with obstacles that prevent it from channelling water effectively through. If the council takes action and cleans the south creek, the green spine will be more effective in the future in promoting biodiversity and supporting developing ecosystems. The poor quality of the creek as of February

2020 has been caused by decades of neglect. 3) Rezoning properties to environmental/recreational and leaving it under private ownership will not create the 'green spine'. There is little to no environmental significance near the south creek. Any time of E-zoning is ignorant of the actual state of the South Creek. 4) By the Land Aquisition (Just Terms Compensation) Act 1991 No22 NSW, owners must be adequately compensated for their land being used for environmental use by providing owners with a compensation of the market value for the size of the land acquired. Please consider these points before any further development. As an owner of land that is half in the green zone, I oppose the rezoning. The government owns enough land in the area (200 acres right on the south creek) which will be sufficient for the carbon footprint to be minimised.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]