

Submitted on Sat, 29/02/2020 - 16:53

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Submission Type: I am making a personal submission

First Name: [REDACTED]

Last Name: [REDACTED]

Name Withheld: Yes

Email: [REDACTED]

Suburb/Town & Postcode: [REDACTED]

Submission file:

Submission:

SCP submission

My name is [REDACTED]

I am a resident in [REDACTED] we are opposing the future plans for Winamatta SCP for the Aerotropolis core.

I do not agree with any proposal of the SCP and do not agree on rezoning the land Environmental/recreational and leaving it under private ownership, if you need recreational green space the fair treatment would be acquire it. Our expectation is that land being rezoned Environmental/recreational should be acquired by the state government with timeframes for acquisition provided in a timely manner.

If acquisition is not going to take place across SCP, options for REDUCING zone boundaries should be perused to better balance landowners rights.

Why isn't the Government bodies using Liverpool Council land that is in the Grange (200acres) before placing any green zone on residents?

Why hasn't Department of planning been truthful with the new zone they want to implement on us and saying we have existing land rights when we have been told we cannot do the same things on that part of the land as today?

We now find ourselves in the middle of a huge development of our surroundings through the construction of a 24/7 airport. We realise that infrastructure and industrial progress is a must for modern society and we not oppose this but what we do oppose is living Why are Thompson Creek residents being acquired when they sit doors away from SCP residents that are not being acquired and they sit on the same zone land today RU4?

Why haven't Governments done a new flood study before zoning as we all know the flood maps are over 16 years old and we know that mitigation has changed the flow of the water?

We know for a fact that Governments need green space/environmental space or recreational space so why are they not acquiring what they need as done in every other development in NSW? How can governments justify environmental zone on our properties when fact is that their is no environmental significance in this area?

If land is needed for any type of recreational/environmental open space, simply Buy it or shrink the lines drastically.

Thankyou