



Subject:
Date:



FW: Webform submission from: [webform_submission:source-title]
Tuesday, 24 March 2020 12:34:05 PM



Sent: Thursday, 12 March 2020 10:41 AM



Subject: FW: Webform submission from: [webform_submission:source-title]



Sent: Tuesday, 10 March 2020 10:18 AM

To: DPE PS ePlanning Exhibitions Mailbox

Subject: Webform submission from: [webform_submission:source-title]

Submitted on Tue, 10/03/2020 - 10:17

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Submission Type: I am making a personal submission

First Name: Alek

Last Name: Manevski

Name Withheld: No

Email:

Suburb/Town & Postcode: Bringelly 2556

Submission file: [webform_submission:values:submission_file]

Submission: Dear Sir/Madam, My name is Alek Manevski and I have resided at [redacted] since 1998 and raised my children here. My elderly mother also lives on the property and she has dementia along with significant health issues, for which I am her sole carer. I wish to make some points in relation to the rezoning to Environmental in the Bringelly Aerotropolis Core. I have no objection to the airport or Aerotropolis however I do strongly object to the rezoning to Environmental. We have always been zoned RU4 and see no valid reason for the change except that it is to de-value our land to try and make it cheaper for us to be bought out, which would be greatly detrimental to my future and that of my family. I as a land owner want to be part of the Aerotropolis as a whole and not have different zones. My main concern, if the rezoning to Environmental stays, the value of my land will be considerably less than other parcels of land in the same estate that has been marked as Mixed Flexible Employment / Urban Land. What also makes no sense is the fact you are stressing the importance of using Thompsons Creek for a Corridor of open spaces. This makes no sense when you also indicated that it can be opened up in future for more development which would mean rezoning again?? That and the fact that it has been changed to Environmental/Non-Urban and we are not allowed to build anything on them

and/or improve anything on the land but you can build recreation and community facilities, restaurants and cafes. If MY home burns down on MY land I can't even re-build it! How is that even allowed? Where am I supposed to live? We are being grossly discriminated against due to where we happened to buy our land, yet someone directly across the road potentially will have much greater financial security when they are bought out simply because they bought their land 30 metres from mine! Yet they are still part of the Kelvin Park Estate, still on 5 acres for which we would be paying the same council rates which means our land is the same value. Residing here for well over 20 years, I can assure you it is very rare that any water flows in Thompsons Creek which runs behind our land. If the creek has ever been raised up, it's because it really requires a massive clean out, even some structural help and from what I have been advised that having a Hydraulic Engineer look at the creek and fix the current problems with Bringelly creek/dam may even help people more effected by this so called flood. Liverpool Council is meant to maintain the creek and clean it out. In the more than 20 years I have been here, they've NEVER done it... Yes it has been requested and I get palmed off and I was told by Liverpool Council staff that because I have to vote in the Macarthur area I have to speak to Macarthur Council but its Liverpool Council I pay my rates to. How does that work out? It seems no-one there knows their job or has an idea about much at all except ripping off the landowners and doing as little as possible for as much money as they can get. I bought here for mine and my children's financial future and retirement and the government are trying to take that away for minimal money which is extremely distressing for me . I already have major health issues especially with my heart so this stress is extremely unwanted and undeserved. Do we live in a free country or is it a communist country where we are being told where we can live and what we can build on our own land. How is this a free country when you are taking our land away from us? It wasn't given to me, I paid for it. I worked hard to buy my land and I'm not giving it away. For me to have this life on this land in a similar atmosphere is impossible. I have to be near doctors and hospitals for me and my mother. My son goes to a school he loves and I don't want his education to suffer because of where we'd have to move to have a similar lifestyle. I would have to buy 5 pockets of land which means 5 lots of council rates or move out the back of no-where to get the same size land and start all over again. And at my age, NO WAY. I do not want to move from here at all. It is my home. I bought here to retire and to leave to my children . It is my nest egg and now the Government wants to come and take it or leave it in my possession worth nothing. We purchased our land as a 1/500 more than 20 years ago we have NEVER had a flood or even close to it. We were also never notified when it was changed to 1/10. Again, how is this fair and who decided this? Brett Whitworth and Anthony Roberts have stated at the community meetings where there were hundreds of residents in attendance for proof, that EVERYONE in Kelvin Park Drive would be worth the same no matter what zone their land would be. They assured us no residents would be disadvantaged with this development. With the flick of a pen my land can be worth next to nothing. My main points are as per below: 1: Currently our land is Environmental which was changed from RU4 which was Primary Production Small Lots (an operational use) and zoning it to Environmental would change it to a non-operational use hindering its development potential, the devaluation of our land, and land fragmentation. 2: The Suitability of the whole site and being Urban Land as its close proximity to the Western Sydney Airport as well as already being proposed for use for the Aerotropolis Core. The fact that this part of Bringelly already has town water (most of Bringelly does not, they are still on tank water). 3. According to the sound barrier for flight noise we are the best distance away perfect for High – Medium density housing, that and the fact we are not in the direct flight path all while keeping close to the Western Sydney Airport and the Aerotropolis as one 4: All properties within Kelvin Park Drive were zoned years ago as RU4 and everyone was the same, there for we should be zoned the same which should NOT environmental. 5: I believe that it is detrimental to the Aerotropolis to utilize the

whole land in this area. 6: The social impact of zoning part of Kelvin Park as Environmental would be detrimental to people's livelihoods, land owners would suffer greatly, emotionally, physically as well as financially. All so the Government can get the land cheap for themselves and sell it off to investors for a massive profit. HOW DARE YOU!!!! 7: The economic impact will also be affected, utilizing the land for more business / housing would bring even more people into the Western Sydney Aerotropolis. The infrastructure would not be able to handle it which then would need to be extended again and again at the expense and heartache for landowners. 8: Environmental impacts as flooding and sustainability can be resolved through building and designs strategies, especially the Hydraulic Engineer to look into improving the creeks and or waterways boarding the whole Western Sydney Airport area. 9: It would be in the interest of the whole community, land owners and the public to ensure the Aerotropolis be as a whole and not just a small portion of the community in the direct Aerotropolis area. 10. There is hundreds of acreage in the Aerotropolis core directly behind my home that is already owned by Government and apparently another 53 hectares at the Grange. Use that land for your environmental plans, not my land. If we do ultimately have to move then I expect to be acquired by the Government and paid for my land as per the Just Terms Compensation Act. To summarize my submission, I would like to stress the fact that I do not agree and am not happy with the proposed plan from NSW Planning and am strongly against the Kelvin Park Estate Environmental. I believe the whole estate should be URBAN. There is room elsewhere for your Greenspace. I strongly disagree with re-zoning the land to Environmental and leaving it under private ownership to achieve more greenspace at the expense of the landowners and no expense to the government. I do not agree with the outdated flood study being used for this proposal. This has completely ruined and desecrated our semi-rural lifestyle. And I again I stress, I DO NOT WANT TO LEAVE BECAUSE I CAN NEVER RE-CREATE WHAT I HAVE ALREADY DONE HERE, ANYWHERE CLOSE TO WHERE I WANT AND NEED TO LIVE FOR ME , MY MOTHER AND MY CHILDREN.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]