



Subject:
Date:



FW: Webform submission from: [webform_submission:source-title]
Tuesday, 17 March 2020 4:54:59 PM



Sent: Thursday, 12 March 2020 10:44 AM

To: PPO Engagement <engagement@ppo.nsw.gov.au>; [redacted]

Subject: FW: Webform submission from: [webform_submission:source-title]



Sent: Wednesday, 11 March 2020 3:00 PM

To: DPE PS ePlanning Exhibitions Mailbox [redacted]

Subject: Webform submission from: [webform_submission:source-title]

Submitted on Wed, 11/03/2020 - 14:59

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Submission Type: I am making a personal submission

First Name: Tanya

Last Name: Eades

Name Withheld: No

Email: [redacted]

Suburb/Town & Postcode: Kemps Creek 2178

Submission file: [webform_submission:values:submission_file]

Submission: Submission in Relation to Stage 2 Western Sydney Aerotropolis Plan. To the Honourable Robert Stokes MP, Minister for Planning & Public Spaces To the Honourable Stuart Ayres, Minister for Jobs, Investment, Tourism and Western Sydney The Honourable Mark Latham MLC The Honourable Peter Sidgreaves MP I Tanya Eades of [redacted] Pratten St Kemps Creek oppose the current Stage 2 Western Sydney Aerotropolis Plan for the following reasons. 1. We strongly oppose the proposal to rezone privately owned land to any Environmental and Recreation and leave it under private ownership. We both strongly believe that it is discriminatory, unfair and morally wrong as the government has given residents bordering Thompsons Creek assurance that they will be acquired under the Just Terms Compensation, and yet no assurance has been given to resident backing onto South Creek and Kemps Creek. We believe if the government does have the required funds to acquire ALL the land within the proposed Environmental and Recreation area that it should be scaled back to scaled back to a more affordable manageable size until the funds can become available, as rezoning will sterilize the land unfairly basically make it useless and unsellable to any investors for an undefined period of time. 2. Lack of information. At every presentation or community meeting or written submission process both

myself and Husbands questions have been unanswered or palmed off. We keep getting told that the information is either not available not known or can not disclose the answers to the questions we are asking. This has caused a tremendous amount of stress and anxiety on both myself and husband having to seek medical attention at time because of not knowing where our future is heading. We are nearly at retirement age with a substantial mortgage still owing on the property and were relying on the sale of the property to help fund our retirement. By rezoning majority of our land Environmental and Recreation and not acquiring the property and paying us for the land that the government defines as Environmentally significant land that directly will effect our plans of retirement, basically in a nut shell we would need to work until we die to continue paying off the mortgage we have. 3. We both request that development within the W-SCP is consistent with Liverpool City Council LEP's throughout the WHOLE of the Liverpool City. As is currently stands Liverpool City Council's flood maps for W-SCP available on Liverpool City Councils website all the land sitting within the dark blue "high flood zone" should be acquired by the relevant government body for the purpose of Environmental and Recreational as no development is permitted on this land rendering it valueless to investors and stale land to landowners including myself and my husband as we cant do anything with the property after rezoning. The current boundary for W-SCP is following an outdated flood line, which we believe requires urgent attention as mitigation works have already commenced and further mitigation is being planned for this section of the Wianamatta South Creek, therefore the flood land will not exist. 4. It is our belief that the government needs to provide an amount of green zone (environment and recreation) area with the massive plans to build this Aerotropolis. We believe the government will use the rezoning and sell carbon credits finically benefiting the government but not the land owners if they are not acquired by the government by the Just terms Compensation Act like some residents will be, but majority will not under current proposal to my understanding. 5. We do not agree with rezoning our property Environmental and Recreation as still to this date more then 12 months down the track still is undefined and unanswered to all residents effected by the zoning. Any green zone or Environmental and Recreational zones should first be made to Rossmore Grange which is approximately 200 acres of land zoned RE1 and owned by Liverpool City Council. It is unfair and unjust to rezone privately owned land without acquiring it under the Just Terms Act Compensation Environmental and Recreational for the financial benefit of the government but a major financial disadvantage to land owners. Everyone in the proposed Environmental and Recreation zone has paid rates and taxes just like everyone else whose land fall within a different zoning in the Aerotropolis, it is unfair that some home owners will make millions of dollars per acre and our property will be unattractive to any developers and will not receive any or scientifically different amount of money per acre if not acquired by the government. I look forward to your reply. Kind regards Tanya Eades ■ Pratten St Kemps Creek ■ 26/02/2020

URL: <https://pp.planningportal.nsw.gov.au/draftplans/exhibition/western-sydney-aerotropolis-planning-package>

