

13.3.20

To

Western Sydney Planning Partnership,
PO Box 257,
Parramatta NSW 2124

I write to make a submission to the following three documents

Draft Western Sydney Aerotropolis Plan

- The Aerotropolis Plan sets out the broad intent of the project's high-level land uses, environmental assets and transport infrastructure within the Aerotropolis.
- It fundamentally fails to address the impact of the project and need to adequately consider options like high speed rail which would deliver a significant jobs and infrastructure boost to the area without the unacceptable impact on the community, and our climate.
- The Plan fails to address the adverse impacts on air quality, noise pollution, and would threaten the Greater Blue Mountains World Heritage Area's environmental value and quality.

Western Sydney Aerotropolis Discussion Paper on the proposed State Environmental Planning Policy

- The Discussion paper fundamentally fails to protect the amenity of the residents of Western Sydney with inadequate protection from air pollution and aircraft noise. Mapping noise (maps being incorporated within the SEPP) fails to deal with the fact that that the 24/7 curfew-free Aerotropolis will have serious and ongoing impact on the residents of Western Sydney.
- The document proposes excessive development and must provide for all the transport links and relevant infrastructure before the project is undertaken.
- The proposed new Environment and Recreation Zone significantly weakens the protections set out in other SEPPs like the Growth Centres SEPP. This SEPP should in fact be strengthened to introduce a broader definition of biodiversity to be included and protections should be strengthened.
- The Precinct Specific Controls should be strengthened to include enforceable targets to improve environmental outcomes and protect

biodiversity.

Draft Western Sydney Aerotropolis Development Control Plan Phase 1

- The Development Control Plan is a list of performance outcomes which are so vague they fail to provide an effective guide for future development.
- The DCP lacks an attempt at detailed targets, numerical commitments, enforceable standards or numerical controls. The test in the DCP is simply to “encourage” or “consider” and potentially only “maintain” the vague aspirations identified.
- The DCP should be a rigorous document that sets ambitious enforceable standards and targets in every one of the proposed performance outcomes including building standards and improved air quality standards. The document should also set out mechanisms to ensure detailed performance standards can be achieved. The current document fails to provide the rigour to deliver development consistent with community expectations and the vision of the project.

Yours sincerely

Anna Salleh

