



AUSCRIPT AUSTRALASIA PTY LIMITED

ACN 110 028 825

T: 1800 AUSCRIPT (1800 287 274)

E: clientservices@auscript.com.au

W: www.auscript.com.au

5

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

TRANSCRIPT IN CONFIDENCE

O/N H-1154774

10

WESTERN SYDNEY

15

PLANNING PARTNERSHIP

20

MR A. JACKSON, Chair

25

AEROTROPOLIS LISTENING PANEL

30

35

BRINGELLY

40

WEDNESDAY, 26 FEBRUARY 2020

5

RECORDING RESUMED

[12.31 pm]

10 MR JACKSON: So, Maria, thank you very much for coming along today. As this –
well, I suppose the purpose of this is an opportunity for residents to speak to a panel
of people to basically voice what your – the issues will be that you will raise
throughout your submission process. I think everyone agreed that the sessions that
we've already had today have been really good, and probably all in different ways in
15 terms of different things that were taken from it. So, you know, I think it's proven
really valuable. I've just given you an update on a few things. One thing that I
didn't say is that we're just waiting on the Minister's office to confirm whether the
Minister will meet with the community liaison group, as requested last night, but I
spoke to you about the extensions. Everyone around the table is part of an agency or
20 a council that will play in a role in assessing the submissions and making
recommendations to government. So it's good that every gets to hear.

MS ZUCCO: Can I just interrupt you there. That has just, just instantly now,
triggered something - - -

25 MR JACKSON: Yes.

MS ZUCCO: - - - in my head. If you've got someone from councils and all
different organisations, has anyone given thought to having someone from a
community there?

30

MR JACKSON: When you say "a community" - - -

MS ZUCCO: From the community. Like someone from the CLG, or from whoever
in the community that you consider is an upstanding, unbiased person, so that the
35 community feel they're represented in the actual process.

MR JACKSON: So, look - - -

MS ZUCCO: I just threw that up because you just said there's varying councils - - -
40

MR JACKSON: Yes.

MS ZUCCO: - - - there's varying people - - -

45 MR JACKSON: Yes.

MS ZUCCO: - - - and they are from – without being disrespectful - - -

MR JACKSON: Yes.

50

MS ZUCCO: - - - councils. They're from a council point of view not a human immersed in the situation point of view. So, you know, there's endless people that you – is on your database. You can speak to Kirsten. She's on a database.

5 MR JACKSON: Yes. You were going to say something, David?

MR McNAMARA: I was just going to say, the purpose of us being here today is to listen, you know, take the information back to our organisations to inform the work we're doing. The CLG is the group that will continue to represent the community
10 going forward. We're not here – we're not making decisions yet.

MS ZUCCO: Oh, okay.

MR McNAMARA: Yes. So we're going to take what we hear today back to the people we work with. It's much easier to and take it back to them and So that's the point of this. We get so much more out of understanding how you arrive at your thoughts and views than just reading your submissions. So, yes, the value out
5 of us is that we can go and tell your story to others.

MR JACKSON: Yes. Absolutely. So what we – we might just whip around and introduce ourselves, just so you know who you're talking to. So - - -

10 MS NORRIS: I'm Nancy-Leigh Norris from Liverpool Council, strategic planner.

MS BORGIA: Hi, I'm Natasha Borgia. I'm the city planning manager from Penrith City Council.

15 MR ORTEGA: I'm Fernando from City Water.

MS ZUCCO: I know.

MR ORTEGA: I'm sorry. You know.
20

MS: Everyone knows you, Fernando.

MS GEE: I'm Julie Gee from Transport for New South Wales.

25 MR JACKSON: Run.

MS ZUCCO: Run. No, but we need to have a talk afterwards if we can, please. It is imperative.

30 MS BLACKWELL: Sarah Blackwell from Infrastructure New South Wales

MS GRZELAK: Patrice Grzelak from Department of Planning.

MR McNAMARA: I'm David McNamara, director, Aerotropolis, from the
35 Department of Planning.

MR JACKSON: Yes. So as you and I were just discussing, the bloke - - -

MS ZUCCO: So you replaced Brett?
40

MR McNAMARA: No. Brett's my boss.

MS ZUCCO: Okay. So Brett was you and he went up?

45 MR McNAMARA: Kind of.

MS ZUCCO: Yes.

MR JACKSON: Let's just say yes.

MS ZUCCO: Yes.

5 MR JACKSON: It's confusing. Anyway. So, as we discussed before, so we're tape – we're recording this to give it a transcript and we'll give you a copy of that just to make sure. I mean, it's being recorded so it's verbatim.

MS ZUCCO: Well - - -

10

MR JACKSON: So, look, this is your session. And one thing that I'll just say to the panel is, Maria wears two hats in terms of both South Creek and the Kemps Creek precincts. So we'll give her 21 minutes instead of 20 - - -

15 MS ZUCCO: Okay.

MR JACKSON: - - - to talk - - -

MS ZUCCO: That's okay.

20

MR JACKSON: No, to talk - - -

MS ZUCCO: Kemps Creek will be quick.

25 MR JACKSON: - - - through both of those. So in your hands, Maria.

MS ZUCCO: Firstly, let me begin by, I am not a computer whiz, so I don't have any pretty pictures, but the pretty pictures that I have there that I would have put up you already have in front of you anyway, so it's pointless. I'm Maria Zucco. I live
30 in Sumbray Avenue, Kemps Creek, and we started a group called the South Creek Precinct Group, the SPC is South Creek Precinct. It is now changed to WSPC, because in the – I'll call it the WASP but you know that I'm talking about the W-S-A-P; right?

35 MR JACKSON: Yes.

MS ZUCCO: In the latest WSAP document it came out as Wianamatta South Creek. My interpretation of that is that you have to have an Aboriginal perspective in all of this and Wianamatta South Creek Precinct came in very handy to cover that
40 perspective. I compliment you on that, because it's something, as a teacher, I would have done as well to cover my butt to come out with the outcomes that are needed.

MR JACKSON: Yes.

45 MS ZUCCO: Okay. And a lot of that can be taken positively, because that can be used to integrate and really build on. If you want to build on that there are groups out there in our area that are willing to take that on and build on maybe an Aboriginal

centre or give people an opportunity to experience, like, all the Aboriginality that was in this area. And that came up at our food meeting that we had that Kirsten has all the notes to. That is all recorded there. And that was a wonderful idea, in my opinion.

5

But now, this lovely creek that we have that has not flown for 40 years, and no one has cared about it more than the actual residents that border on it or live around it, however, we have complained to council many a time for the creek to be cleaned, only to be told it's a Sydney Water issue. We would go to Sydney Water only to be told it is a council issue. Therefore, the creek did not flow and farms would go under water. When the law came out we were told we were the most important piece of land and we were zoned non-urban. That is how our group came to be. I was in that area because they used the PMF lines of one in 1000. We fought tooth and nail; we climbed hills and went down dale, and we got the PMF removed, and it is now the 2004 high flood line that is being used from the council. At this stage I need to say I am wearing the Kemps Creek SCP hat, the spine, the green spine. Now, picture this. I live on Sumbray Avenue. Half my - - -

20

MR JACKSON: So for the people – sorry. Sumbray is – it's about three streets - - -

MS ZUCCO: No. Second. Overett. So you come down - - -

MR JACKSON: Second street down.

25

MS ZUCCO: You come down - - -

MR JACKSON: Parallel - - -

30

MS ZUCCO: - - - Elizabeth Drive into Western Road. The first street on your right is Overett. The next street on your right is Sumbray.

MR JACKSON: Yes. So it's parallel with Elizabeth Drive.

35

MS ZUCCO: Okay.

MS GEE: Yes.

40

MS ZUCCO: Now, I find it a gross injustice that I am now living on the side – on the good side of the street. I will be eventually, hopefully, after that second WSAP comes out, be zoned blue, or proposed blue, which is light industrial, or employment lands. The other side of my street – my neighbours, my friends – they proposed environmental and recreational. Now, we are playing with semantics here.

45

According to my understanding, environmental and recreational is zoned and not bought. However, if you change that environmental to green, or I forgot the proper word, but not “green”, but there is a proper word that means – open spaces is the word I'm looking for. Excuse me, I'm slightly nervous and it's very rare for me to be - - -

MR ORTEGA: Don't be nervous.

MS ZUCCO: - - - nervous when I'm speaking. If you change that "environmental" to "open spaces and recreational", it needs to be acquired. So it is obvious that the
5 planning department is playing with semantics. What does a acquisition mean? We
take onboard that the 2004 flood studies are not current, because the flow of water
has been changed. We also have it from Sydney Water that they are going to redo
the maps after the zoning. And I won't tell you – won't go into what the residents
10 think about that. However, if you purchase up to what Liverpool City Council says
is the high flood zone, right, the rest of the land, zone it according to the area. You
purchase what you want. The rest of the land, the people who bought that land to
begin with knew that they bought in a flood zone. They knew that; right? So they
can't come back and say, "But, but, but." Yes, mitigations can change it but you did
15 that knowingly. So, okay, we're – live by the decision you made then. Purchase that
land. Rezone the rest of the land accordingly.

You can move on with your project and it will facilitate the project speedily. The
other option is litigation. And if you go into litigation everything gets held up.
There is stress and everything on both – well, on the people's side, and everything is
20 delayed. My other very simplistic option – and that is my idea that I brought up last
night and everyone had a chuckle, because it is simplistic, but it could work. If you
draw a line down the middle of the creek, wherever it goes, draw a line down the
middle of the creek, you need X amount of metres for parks, your pathways, your
barbecue areas, your recreational. Buy X amount of metres on either side of the
25 bank. So you can actually purchase X amount of metres either side of the bank. It
might not be the 100 that you want, that you perceived, but you can only afford 50 on
either side. Purchase that. Create a deed for that land, that becomes public open
space, and let the rest of the land be zoned according to where it sits and let people
get on with their lives. They are my two very simplistic views.

30 Because people need to – I'll give you an example of a person in Overett Street;
very elderly people who, in their mid to late eighties, all they wanted to do was to
sell. Two years ago they got a quote for three million and were ready to sell. In-
between this the law came out. They couldn't even get 900,000 for their land. They
35 have now been offered just over a million. They are – and last night one of our
people at the CLG said they've actually rostered themselves – the street has rostered
itself to look after these people. This is the amount of pressure that these documents
are putting on real people. These people are like you. They have families. They
have children. They are not pie in the sky or, as someone said, "I thought Western
40 Sydney was a clean palette." We are not a clean palette.

You live here or in our surrounds. Imagine someone coming to you and saying,
"Well, we want your house. We think your house is great, but we're going to zone it
environmental and recreational because we don't want to pay you, but, at the same
45 time, we're devaluing your house to almost nothing. Because that's what's happened
to the people in the spine. We're not asking for you to go through hoops. We are
asking for fairness, equity and justice. That's all we're asking for. Please, when you

have your colouring-in pencils in planning, remember that. Remember these are people's lives. These are not green spaces just – they look pretty and we all love them, and we all love parks, and we're not saying don't have them, and we're not even saying, "Not in my backyard." What we are saying is, "Not for nothing."

5

I leave my Kemps Creek presentation, my Kemps Creek WSPC presentation with these words: if you can't afford to buy the spine you can't afford the airport. Because we have always been told that this spine is the backbone of the Aerotropolis and of the airport. Go back to any LEWIP1 meeting, and you will hear it. "This is the spine. It is the backbone." Well, I ask you, will your back stand up if you don't treat it right? And that's the same with that. People are – if you don't treat people correctly you won't have your spine. You won't reach all your objectives on page 66 – and I think it's 65, 66 and 67. Those objectives will not be reached, because you've got 1600 hectares and those 1600 hectares all have people that live on them. If you want to reach your objectives it's going to be like an Italian market; give a little, take a little. I suppose I'm here bartering for the people, but it's what I do best. Thank you.

10

15

20

MR JACKSON: Not a problem.

MS GEE: Thank you.

MS ZUCCO: Did that make sense?

25

MS: Yes. Absolutely.

MS GEE: Yes.

30

MS: Definitely.

MR JACKSON: Absolutely.

MS GEE: Yes.

35

MS ZUCCO: Sorry.

MR JACKSON: All right. Do you want a water?

40

MS ZUCCO: No. It's a long time since I've spoken in public.

MR JACKSON: Well, we - - -

MS ZUCCO: Oh well, talked to a crowd that listened.

45

MR JACKSON: I was going to say - - -

MS GEE: Very passionate.

MS ZUCCO: Normally - - -

MR JACKSON: - - - well, we'd better behave then in primary classes.

5 MS ZUCCO: Normally I'm the discipline person standing at the front.

MR: We have to disagree.

10 MS ZUCCO: No. No. I had 400 people in one room and I was on my own without a microphone, and they were all – it was when the LEWIP1 came out. It was at Austral church, at the hall there at – oh, my God. Anyway, I managed.

MR JACKSON: There you go. Well done.

15 MS ZUCCO: Okay.

MR JACKSON: You did well.

MS: Thank you, Maria.
20

MR JACKSON: Thank you.

MS ZUCCO: Thank you.

25 MR JACKSON: Did you want to talk about Kemps Creek?

MS ZUCCO: Now I'm talking about Kemps Creek .

30 MR JACKSON: I'm not rushing you on. I just wanted to make sure you didn't get up and leave.

35 MS ZUCCO: No, I don't want to – look, when I was at Anthony Roberts' office, when we were there and we were talking this for LEWIP1, he actually had no idea what was happening here, and he had promised he was going to come out and meet the people. But then we had an election and it – LEWIP got put on the backburner and we had an election and then Rob Stokes was Minister. So that never eventuated. But he, as the Minister, had not seen the maps that we presented to him, which were the maps in the LEWIP by the way, and the flood lines from Liverpool Council. We had those as well. So that's that. Now – and timewise, his secretary kept coming in
40 and going – so I know that these things – when it's official it's different to us talking on the side of the road.

MR JACKSON: Oh, yes. And it's just we've got a number of people to - - -

45 MS ZUCCO: That's right.

MR JACKSON: - - - get through and it's as much about that.

MS ZUCCO: And I'm very disappointed that not many – oh, that's one thing. I don't know if you're recording. If you are, good; it's not bad.

MR JACKSON: Yes.

5

MS ZUCCO: This is part of no submission, but go back to your people. Last night we were asked at the CLG, "Did you think the one-on-ones were worth it?" And my answer was, "Yes" and "No". Some of the information was understood wrongly. Some of the information was given wrongly, which that's you don't have people
10 there that understand and deliver things the same way. But a lot of the – you haven't got a great many people coming here, because they have already been to the one-on-one and they thought that that was this. And all the people I've spoken to have said, "But we've been. We've done it." "No, you haven't. This is different. You need - -" "But why do I have to go again? They know what I've said." And I can't
15 get it – couldn't explain to them that it's two different things.

So if you are involved in anything like this for any other matter, please make this where you listen to people. Because people will come here and tell you their story, and then make this the one, not the one-on-one; make it this. Because then they will
20 feel empowered. They will feel part of the process. Whether it's for or against you still feel that you've actively done something for your benefit. We know that not everything goes for you; we know that not everything goes against you, but at least you feel that you've tried and you've been. Whereas, the feelings coming out of the one-on-ones was – that was useless. When they found out that they were just
25 recording but it wasn't going into anywhere specific, that was a waste of time. The initiative is good but this is the place for it to be. Sorry. My hat's off now.

Okay. Now I come to Kemps Creek. My area tripled or quadrupled in size within a month because at first we were only – we had only targeted the people along the
30 spine. When the WSAP came out – the W-S-A-P came out – all of Kemps Creek was involved, because all of Kemps Creek then became definitely a blue and all of Kemps Creek became involved. So now I've got pockets of Kemps Creek that I know very little about, I am learning very quickly, and, thanks to Fernando, the presentation that I had for Kemps Creek today went out the window last night when
35 he put up his pretty maps and he told me, or I was – then realised that public utilities do not need a zoning, which is basically what came out of last night. So a lot of the submissions that you've already got are going to say, "We need to be zoned because of the public utilities." Well, that has now gone out the window and with it went my presentation. And I - - -

40

MR JACKSON: So just to explain that. So when – the conversation you had with me last night the thinking was, because part of the precinct needs to be zoned for the sewage treatment plant you should rezone the whole precinct, and that was the logic.

45 MS ZUCCO: Well, that was the understanding - - -

MR JACKSON: The understanding. Yes.

MS ZUCCO: - - - of the precinct based on the meeting that we had with yourself and Liverpool on the 19th of December, where you said the precincts would be released together. Let me qualify that. Not all precincts released at the same time.

5 MR JACKSON: Yes.

MS ZUCCO: Kemps Creek; all of Kemps Creek released together. Badgerys Creek; all of Badgerys Creek released together. Aerotropolis; all of Aerotropolis. That is what I referred to as being released together. Not contemporary. Not the
10 whole lot contemporary, just the whole things together.

So when people saw the map and they saw the treatment works – the major treatment works – to supply the sewerage and water services for the airport they thought,
15 “Right. We’re going to be in the first release. We have to be in the first release, because the treatment works is there.” Then through meetings with the reference group, a bit prior to the CGL, we found out, no, that wasn’t the case. So I went back, as quickly as I could, and I said, “Well, no, this isn’t the case.” And they came back, and their arguments have been, “Well, it needs to be the case. We should be in the first release because the treatment works is here.”

20

Then yesterday afternoon, before I came to the CGL – and this threw me out the window as well – I read – we were emailed the submission from the council, which I don’t think you’ve seen yet.

25 MR JACKSON: I haven’t seen it.

MS ZUCCO: Okay. In the submission from the council it states that they are not supporting Kemps Creek and Dwyer Road being in the first release, because they do not want fragmented development. That makes sense. However, my argument
30 makes sense as well, because they want to concentrate on the Aerotropolis; that’s the zebra crossing – the blue and gold zebra crossing – because that’s mixed employment, mixed use lands; right? We refer to it as the zebra crossing - - -

MR JACKSON: Zebra crossing.
35

MS ZUCCO: - - - in layman’s terms. Okay? Now, yes, but you’ve got to think of the types of employment that you are going to get, and the types of jobs that you are going to get in the Aerotropolis is different to the development that you will be getting Kemps Creek, which is different again to the development up on Mamre
40 Road. So if you look at Mamre Road as the hard core, the centre that you could put containers in, and everything else, and then the orbital is going to be built. Great. Then you look at the Northern Gateway. That’s going to be like support industries. And this is specifically geared to Liverpool Council.

45 So Penrith Council doesn’t have a lot of heavy weight to carry. Penrith Council is lightweight. They’ve got still got the 15 mill from the city deal but they’re not Atlas. Liverpool City Council is the Atlas in this scenario. So you’re letting them develop

what I call the mid-support for the airport: the tyres, the food, the car parks. All of that is going there. By putting Kemps Creek not in the first precinct that's what you're allowing. You are missing out on the very, very basic mid – like, they're hardcore, we're middle of the road, and the Aerotropolis is soft. Because they're all the office back-work. Right? The Aerotropolis are not going to have factories in the middle of their shopping centres. They're going to have soft – what I call soft – employment for the – these are terms that I've come up with because I know somewhere there's proper terms, but I am neither a town planner. I am just a teacher that loves to teach, and I hope I am getting my message across in a way that I would
5
10

So you've got your soft here that belongs to Liverpool. But your hardcore, that's all going to Penrith. You're not making money. And then you've allowed them the softcore as well with the Northern Gateway. You're not making money. This - - -

15 MS BORGIA: I'm Penrith.

MS ZUCCO: Oh, well, whatever. Sorry.

20 MS:

MS ZUCCO: But you're making money.

MR JACKSON: Atlas and Penrith.

25 MS BORGIA: I'm making money.

MS ZUCCO: You're making money but you're not. Does it make sense? I mean, literally sit down and think about it. The pushback we got from Liverpool, yes they've slapped us in the face but they've shot themselves in the foot. I could cope with a slap across the face; four, five years I'll be developed, but Northern Gateway has got four, five years on Liverpool. And if developers can see that that's already got the roads in, and everything else, they're not going to come here and do it. So that was – they've shot themselves in the foot, in the opinion of Kemps Creek industrial.
30
35

And I think if you look at Western Road, Western Road's going to carry the bulk of the services going down to the treatment works and going across. Now, we will be carrying down Western Road and through the back of my – through what used to be called a fire trail before Bob Carr closed it down. It's going to go straight through, under the creek and over to the airport to supply them with recycled water. Okay? So that area is carrying the heavy weight but it's not making the dollars.
40

So Kemps Creek would like to be – we would firstly like to seek that Liverpool Council supports us. And since we have a two-week extension, that can go in later and be revised and reviewed, and I have spoken to people at the council that I am willing to come in and sit down and put forward my argument with a group of people
45

of Kemps Creek. I've rung and no one's rung back. Gal did the introductions. I rang one person. I left a message. They may be sick; they may be not in the office – I don't know – but I have not received a return phone call, but I'm willing to sit down with them. I'm willing to put forward what I think. And you have a two-week
5 extension, which I think this can be revisited.

MR JACKSON: So just practically on that: council is going to make a decision tonight, are they not, about - - -

10 MS NORRIS: Yes. It's going - - -

MS ZUCCO: No. That was last night.

MS NORRIS: It's going up tonight.
15

MR JACKSON: For Liverpool.

MS NORRIS: It's on Wednesday night. Yes.

20 MS ZUCCO: Well, maybe it needs to be deferred. Because you've got a two-week extension. You should use it. That's just my opinion.

MR JACKSON: Okay.

25 MS ZUCCO: But, having said that, and done my Liverpool Council spiel, and now you can also understand why we feel that if Liverpool Council hasn't got our backs, that Planning Partnership are going to listen to Liverpool Council, who is the overall umbrella over one precinct in that umbrella. And we can understand that. We may not agree with it but we understand it. And therefore, I propose that the planning
30 department put out a timeline of when precincts should be released. Because by putting out a timeline of release of precincts you are giving people hope. You're saying, "We're not stuck here for the next 20 years." "I'm not stuck under here when planes are going to be flying." Because the reason we originally moved out to Kemps Creek, Badgerys Creek, and all these other creeks that are around here, is
35 because we wanted peace. We wanted the bird. We wanted – we didn't want the hustle and bustle of the city. If we did – came from Croydon. If I wanted the hustle and bustle I would have stayed in Croydon. I – we didn't.

MR JACKSON: Yes.
40

MS ZUCCO: We wanted this. And we are still seeking this, but we need a timeline so that we know when things will happen, in what order and in what area.

MR JACKSON: Yes.
45

MS ZUCCO: Thank you.

MR JACKSON: Thank you.

MS ZUCCO: Thank you.

5 MR JACKSON: Does anyone have any - - -

MS ZUCCO: Questions.

10 MR JACKSON: - - - questions? I think you were pretty clear in your views.
So - - -

MS ZUCCO: I hope so.

15 MR JACKSON: Yes. No, no, I'm just saying, I don't know if there's going to be
any questions, because - - -

MS ZUCCO: Oh, that's bad.

20 MR JACKSON: - - - it was self-explanatory.

MS ZUCCO: Well – sorry – it goes with the job.

MR JACKSON: No, don't be sorry at all.

25 MR McNAMARA: It just makes it easier for us though.

MS GEE: It does.

30 MS ZUCCO: But if - - -

MR McNAMARA: Because it's a very clear message It's good.

35 MS ZUCCO: - - - I was – like, I'm not being mean when I say if I was teaching, but
even if I was teaching a room full of adults – let's say I was teaching at uni.
Whatever I'm teaching, I've got to be able to convey to the people my message. If I,
as a teacher, can't convey to you the message I'm getting across, then I shouldn't be
teaching.

40 MR ORTEGA: What did you teach at – are you still working?

MS ZUCCO: No.

MR ORTEGA: What did you teach?

45 MS ZUCCO: I was a primary school teacher and I loved every single minute. I did
not go up the ladder because I loved the classroom. I chose not to be – I hate – I
don't mean to be disrespectful. I could never what you guys do. I – I've got two

daughters that do what you guys do and I can't do that. I need to be with people. I need to be hands-on. I need to be – you know.

MR ORTEGA: That's good.

5

MS ZUCCO: And because the rules were really bad when I was young, we had to go back after six weeks or we lost our jobs. Yes, we lost our jobs. You couldn't teach.

10 MR JACKSON: About – after having kids?

MS ZUCCO: After having kids. We lost our jobs if you didn't go back after six weeks. So what did I do? I got myself transferred to a school very close to home. I used to do morning duty. I'd race home at lunchtime to breastfeed my baby. I'd
15 express for the first meal. Then I'd come back, teach, go back after school, breastfeed, and come back for meetings. And we also started the movement. If you can actually see me – they didn't have phones in those days – but in the archives of when Wentworth Park was there near the city - - -

20 MS GEE: Yes.

MS ZUCCO: - - - I'm there holding the banners for – to have – we fought and fought and fought to have maternity leave to be brought into schools. And then quite
25 a few years later we fought again so that you didn't have to teach full-time; you could have class sharing.

MR McNAMARA: Yes. Okay.

MS ZUCCO: I was one of the instigators of that.

30

MR McNAMARA: Very good.

MS ZUCCO: I fought very, very hard. So just by telling you that little bit, you know that I really am into people's rights. I'm into all people having a fair and
35 equitable go. I maybe shouldn't even be here, because South Creek Precinct, I'm out. It's got nothing to do with me anymore. But could I leave my – those people? They've got no one to represent them. Could I just say, "Well, I'm out here. Forget you. Bye." So if you've got any questions, anything, just let me know.

40 MS GEE: Thank you.

MS ZUCCO: But Transport – I need to say this.

MS GEE: Yes.

45

MS ZUCCO: I've got to get it off me.

MS GEE: Sure.

MS ZUCCO: Have you – I don't know where you live. Have you been to
5 Devonshire Road and Elizabeth Drive, or Western Road and Elizabeth Drive, in the
peak hour times?

MS GEE: No, I haven't.

MS ZUCCO: Okay. Can I please, please impose on somebody from RMS or
10 Transport New South Wales, or whatever other umbrella this is under - - -

MS GEE: Yes.

MS ZUCCO: - - - just get someone to drive there from, say, 5.30 – 6 o'clock.
15 There's plenty of place you can park and video. Sit opposite and see what goes on.

MS GEE: Yes.

MS ZUCCO: Last night there were, I don't know, 16 people around the table. Five
20 of us had children with near misses. And I'm not talking bad near misses. My
daughter rang me in tears. Another one got put up against a fence. At a meeting
with an inspector from Penrith, a police inspector from Penrith, I suggested that –
and Kirsten was here at that time as well – I suggested that maybe – they said, "We
25 can't afford to put traffic lights." Okay. Put mobile traffic lights? You know those
little ones that flash - - -

MS GEE: Yes.

MS ZUCCO: - - - just from 6 till 9, or from 8 till – certain times of the day - - -
30

MS GEE: Yes.

MS ZUCCO: - - - put those up, and the response was it would impede the flow of
35 traffic. Now, I ask you, is one life - - -

MS GEE: Yes.

MS ZUCCO: - - - worth more than one minute?

40 MS GEE: Yes, I agree with you. Yes. We focus - - -

MS ZUCCO: So - - -

45 MS GEE: - - - way too much on movement.

MS ZUCCO: - - - please, please, just – I’m not asking you to do anything; just to send somebody there peak hour morning, peak hour afternoon. Because now we’re getting all the traffic from Warren Park and everywhere else, and it’s the trucks.

5 MS GEE: Yes.

MS ZUCCO: The trucks. If you go there now – I went to collect the community submission which we’re sending in. I went down to collect all the signatures. I was parked at Mitre 10. I could not get out. I had to get out in the no drive zone. You
10 know where that blocked zone is? I had to park in there and then check my rear vision mirror and slowly edge out and pray that no truck was coming. Okay. Thank you, and thank you.

MR JACKSON: No, no. Thanks, Maria.
15

MS GEE: Thank you.

MS ZUCCO: Lovely meeting you.

20

RECORDING SUSPENDED

[1.06 pm]