

[REDACTED]

From: system@acelo.com on behalf of Filippa Tropea [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, 4 October 2018 3:39 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Submission Details for Filippa Tropea (comments)
Attachments: 283448_Filippa Tropea Submission Letter _2_.pdf

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Confidentiality Requested: no

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements: yes

Name: Filippa Tropea

Email: [REDACTED]

Address:
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Content:

Please refer to attached PDF file.

Thank you.

IP Address: - 101.191.8.183

Submission: Online Submission from Filippa Tropea (comments)

https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=283448

Submission for Job: #9552

https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9552

Site: #0

https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0

Filippa Tropea
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

4th October 2018

Department of Planning and Environment

Dear Sir/Madam

Re: Rezoning from RU4 to NON-URBAN in Bringelly Aerotropolis Core

My Name is Filippa Tropea I live in [REDACTED] NSW, what you now are calling the Aerotropolis Core. Well to a certain extent that is. Lived here since 2008, lived in local area for over thirtythree years. Have 3 children and purchased my property knowing the airport was coming and also knowing it was an investment in my future and that of my children.

While I have no objection to the Western Sydney Airport or the Aerotropolis, what I do object to is the re-zoning of our suburb to split into two different zones. Every landowner in our small estate have always been RU4, therefore I do not agree with the current proposal from the Department of Planning to change the "middle" to Urban and those on the "outer" or along Thompson Creek/South Creek line to Non-Urban. I as a land owner want to be part of the Aerotropolis as a whole and not having different zones.

I am also interested in knowing where you got your information on in regard to the re-zoning especially on Thompson Creek and South Creek when no technical data has actually taken place. That and the fact you are yet to conduct an Environmental Study of the Creek and surrounding land. How can you do this plan without all the facts to begin with?

My may concern as a land owner in this area if the re-zoning to non-urban goes ahead is the drop in value of my land which will be considerably less than other parcels of land in the same estate that has been marked as Mixed Flexible Employment / Urban Land.

What also makes no sense is the fact you are stressing the importance of using the Thompson Creek and South Creek for a Corridor of open Spaces. This makes no sense when you also indicate that it can be opened up in future for more development. That and the fact that if it is changed to Non-Urban and we are not allowed to build anything on them and or improve anything on the land but you can build, recreation facilities, community facilities, restaurants and cafes.

Living here for over 10 years, it is very rare that any water flows in the Thompson Creek which runs at the back of our property. At the best there might be a trickle of water after the heaviest of rain. While yes we purchased our land with a small portion of it as a 1:100 years, we have NEVER had a flood, to be 100% transparent with you. I remember a couple of times the creek overflowing and came up the banks of the creek but not into our land. Even when we have had heavy rain for several days the flow in Thompson Creek is slowed down by debris & rubbish. In our opinion if the creek was regularly maintained and serviced by council and cleaned out, the flow would run effectively.

In all the years we have been here and talking with a lot of our neighbours who have been here 20 plus years, we all have Never seen anyone servicing /cleaning the creek. I believe the creek, really requires a massive clean out.

With some structural help and what I have been advised that having a Hydraulic Engineer look at the creek and fix any current problems may even help people more effected by this so called flood.

So please explains to me why my land has been put in the South Creek Precinct when Thompson Creek has never flooded into our property.

My main points are as per below:

- 1: Currently our land is RU4 which is Primary Production Small Lots (an operational use) and zoning it to Non-Urban would change it to a non-operational use hindering its development potential, the devaluation of our land, and land fragmentation
- 2: The Suitability of the whole site and being Urban Land as its close proximity to the Western Sydney Airport as well as already being proposed for use for the Aerotropolis Core. The fact that this part of Bringelly already has town water (most of Bringelly does not, they are still on tank water).
3. According to the sound barrier for flight noise we are the best distance away perfect for High – Medium density housing, that and the fact we are not in the direct flight path all while keeping close to the Western Sydney Airport and the Aerotropolis as one
- 3: All properties within [REDACTED] were zoned years ago as RU4 and everyone was the same, there for we should be zoned the same.
- 4: I believe that it is detrimental to the Aerotropolis to utilize the whole land in this area.
- 5: The social impact of zoning part of Kelvin Park as Non-Urban would be detrimental to people livelihoods, land owners would suffer greatly, both emotionally, physically as well as financially.
- 6.: The economic impact will also be effected, utilizing the land for more business / housing would bring even more people into the Western Sydney Aerotropolis.
- 7: Environmental impacts as flooding and sustainability can be resolved through building and designs strategies, especially the Hydraulic Engineer to look into improving the creeks and or waterways boarding the whole Western Sydney Airport area.
- 8: It would be in the interest of the whole community, land owners and the public to ensure the Aerotropolis be as a whole and not just a small portion of the community in the direct Aerotropolis area.

To summarize my submission, I would like to stress the fact that I do not agree and am not happy with the proposed plan from NSW Planning and am strongly against the rezoning of part of the Kelvin Park Estate to URBAN AND NON-URBAN. I believe the whole estate should be URBAN.

Kind Regards

Filippa Tropea.