

[REDACTED]

From: system@acelo.com on behalf of [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, 30 September 2018 1:07 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Submission Details

Confidentiality Requested: yes

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements: yes

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Address:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Content:

I strongly opposed the 1,950 hectares, designated to the South Creek Precinct. For the following reasons:-

1. It does not follow the flood line.
2. Only land within the 1:100 flood plan should be allocated for public use, parks, paths, ponds, etc.
3. We are all zoned in Bringelly, Kelvin Park Estate at RU4 small holdings workable land, it is illegal to devalue our land to a lesser zoning ie: Environmental Zones, even with permitting restaurants and cafes or the like.
4. The many organisations involved in the decision making here, eg Chamber of Commerce, Western Sydney Commission, Politicians, Members of Parliament, Local Councils, have all had meetings, even so much as to advertise overseas to gain investment and interest in development of OUR LAND!! Agreements and Deals have been made without our knowledge - with no consultation or attempt to involve a resident or representative of the local private land owners to sit in and give a representation on our part in the decision making. This is wrong and is where the system has broken down. You are now involving land owners, when plans are already in place, - this has been met with distrust & objection. The lack of respect shown to private land owners here has been disgraceful, and you now expect us to come to the party as an after thought.
5. Until you change your processes and have a representation from private land owners within each precinct, this will be an ongoing issue. You have made an error here, and need to only use the land within the 1:100 flood zone, and your plans need to be changed to reflect this, this gives you plenty of area to make for public use. If you need more, than use government land within the same area (former OTC Site approx 317 hectares) - not private property. If your plans have been prepared or done outside of this, then you need to go back - as private land owners should have been involved prior to it coming to this point - it is your error not ours!
6. The Use of Social media has a strong development within the area already 436 members for South Creek Precinct alone, not to mention Agri/Agri Business and yet to come South Creek West Precinct, virtually any precinct that would involve private land ownership.
7. You need to do the right thing here in respect of private land owners and their rights, and you are expected as the Planning Department to be fair and reasonable when it comes to zoning property correctly - for example - if Restaurants/cafes are permitted, then it should be zoned mixed use or commercial - not Environmental Zones or a lesser zone. We play an extremely important role in the Aerotropolis Precinct in supporting the success of the Badgerys Creek Airport and planning should encourage development, design initiatives and new innovations, not to restrict development or ideas through devaluing land and heavily restriction using Environmental Zoning or a lesser zoning.
8. We all would have a much better, co-operation/progression & met with minimal objection - with 90% support of private land owners for the Aerotropolis Precincts if you use the 1:100 flood zone area only, for public use and/or the government land. A very simple compromise & fair outcome for all. This would then ensure this is not a long and lengthy drawn out legal process for all parties.
9. Refer to Mark Latham's Outsider blog "why Governments dont work" for both the Planning Department and Government Members to understand how much community support the private land owners have out here at South Creek Precinct and how a common sense approach is necessary.

10. Only land for a specific "purpose" can be acquired from private land owners. This is not an open area, that the Department of planning can obtain without clear detailed definition and its use. Rezoning and devaluing private owners land to achieve planning outcomes, is not acceptable.

IP Address: - 120.146.153.190

Submission: Online Submission from [REDACTED]
https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=282974

Submission for Job: #9552

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9552

Site: #0

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0