

[REDACTED]

From: system@acelo.com on behalf of Wadih Hatem [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, 12 October 2018 1:55 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Submission Details for Wadih Hatem (comments)
Attachments: 284576_Letter_ Wadih Hatem_ 01.10.18_ 1.pdf

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Confidentiality Requested: no

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements:

Name: Wadih Hatem
[REDACTED]

Address:
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Content:
See attached

IP Address: - 141.243.33.161

Submission: Online Submission from Wadih Hatem (comments)
https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=284576

Submission for Job: #9552
https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9552

Site: #0
https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, 1 October 2018 11:46 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Aerotropolis rezoning concern

To [REDACTED],

We are the residents of [REDACTED] (South Creek Precinct). We have recently been informed that our proposed zoning will be changed to Non-Urban due to The Western Sydney Aerotropolis Stage 1 Plan, along with around 300 more properties. To our understanding it is the Greenbelt for the Aerotropolis.

It appears that this was done after the assessment of the flood plain in the area and the chance of flooding happening in 1:1000 years. We purchased our freehold land in 1999 in a prime location and ensured it was not flood affected at all as RU4 primary productions small lots. We have worked here for around 20 years and our property has never ever flooded. We have spent all our savings on this property; it is our home and where we belong.

According to the government zoning website, Non-Urban equates to non-use of our land, NOTHING can be done to improve it, NOTHING can be built or added to it and so on... It is our understanding that NON-URBAN ZONE is INHABITABLE. This makes us feel furious, stressed, robbed and victimized. We were told that this rezoning is necessary green space, all 1950 hectares (5000 acres) of it. Hence, around 300 farms are rendered worthless, yet my next-door neighbour, is worth millions due to their rezoning to URBAN-EMPLOYMENT. All previous available maps of our land showed that it was to be rezoned Urban Employment or Housing. For this to occur, South Creek Precinct flood lines would need to be brought back to 1:100 year flood lines. It is our understanding that once South Creek is rehabilitated, flash flooding would be minimal. Furthermore, advice has been sought and received. We are working with professionals to ascertain whether there is compliance with the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act of 1979, Section 4.15.

I am strongly opposing this green space and we as private landowners have rights to fight concerning our loss of property values and development rights. The Department of Planning should find new ways of meeting the conservation and environmental targets in the area, to achieve Green Space without unfairly hurting property owners and alleviate the uncertainty, stress and anxiety of the South Creek Precinct. All landowners in this area should be entitled of equitable remuneration for their properties. It is unfair that some of us have an uncertain financial future when others have guaranteed financial security for themselves and their families. Please we ask your help in this matter.

I look forward to hearing from you soon.

Kind regards,

[REDACTED]