

[REDACTED]

From: system@acelo.com on behalf of Helen Napier (Anderson) [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, 18 October 2018 4:25 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Submission Details for Helen Napier (Anderson) (comments)
Attachments: 286648_Letter_ Helen Napier _Anderson_ 02.10.18.pdf

Confidentiality Requested: no

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements:

Name: Helen Napier (Anderson)
[REDACTED]

Address:
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Content:
See attached

IP Address: - 141.243.33.161

Submission: Online Submission from Helen Napier (Anderson) (comments)
https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=286648

Submission for Job: #9552
https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9552

Site: #0
https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0



10/1/20

CONFIDENTIAL

10/1/20

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, 2 October 2018 11:55 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Aerotropolis and the South Creek West development

[REDACTED]
Director Urban Renewal (Sydney Metro)
Department of Planning and Environment

[REDACTED]
Director Land Release
Department of Planning and Environment

Dear [REDACTED]

With the announcement of the Agricultural + Agribusiness precinct and the South Creek West development, the community of Bringelly has essentially been destroyed through what would appear to be an arbitrarily determined boundary of Greendale road and Northern Road.

The properties and community bounded by Northern Road, Dwyer Road and Greendale road are no different in demographics and current land usage than the properties currently in the Aerotropolis Core and the South Creek West proposed development area, yet we have been declared an agricultural and agribusiness precinct. How can the government justify a city across the road and urban development on the other side of the road with the remaining existing residential properties that were part of the town of Bringelly now being pastoral land!

In essence we have been sectioned out without any consultation nor due consideration to what the current land usage and business operations are within this boundary. The agricultural value of our properties within this boundary is minimal. I note that the Department of Primary Industries is to undertake an assessment of appropriate land use in the precinct as stated in the Aerotropolis Land Use and Infrastructure Implementation Plan. Why was this not done before the proposed land use of agriculture/agribusiness was applied to what are essentially small acreage residential properties? Just because we have the Leppington Pastoral Company to the north of Dwyer Road, does not justify a broad sweep of the pen to say that the remaining residential community of Bringelly should now be bulldozed and have more cows on them, or maybe even attempt to grow produce.

The current properties within the Greendale Road, Dwyer Road and Northern Road boundary have never undertaken any form of agricultural activities from a business perspective. I moved into Bringelly in [REDACTED] ago. I am well placed to comment on the history of our area, the nature of land use and the growth in small business that has occurred in our area in that time. The small businesses that do operate have no relationship to agribusiness in any way.

From what I understand there is a blurring between what one might term agriculture and what is agribusiness and attempts to get clarity on this have not been forthwith. The best place I have found to try and understand this is via the Australian Trade and Investment Commission website and from what I read, successful agribusiness projects and concepts have in the main occurred in regional NSW, not metropolitan Sydney. With regard to Aerotropolis, yes there is the fortunate or unfortunate issue of us having a pastoral company right under the flightpath of an international airport and it comprises a large

part of the land west of the airport runway, so what else can we do with it, very little. Understandably we don't want urban development under the flightpath.

However for the government to then say we will extend the agricultural land use further north and further south; allow for agribusiness ventures, citing some example from Sweden , but not practiced elsewhere at an international airport; coupled with no assessment undertaken on the viability of the land beyond livestock management; would appear to be not only premature, but a simplistic way to deal with the people that make this area their home with NO REGARD, to the community of which they are apart. In other words, what it looks like is that for those of us in this boundary area of Greendale Road, Northern Road and Dwyer Road, you have "parked us". With regard to the ANEC Noise Contours, the degree of impact over the properties within this defined boundary of Greendale/Northern/Dwyer Road, is minimal and that is based on a worse -case potential for district noise generated by the airport at full potential. Any need to make our land agricultural on this basis is therefore not justified. There is no clear agricultural or agribusiness plan for the precinct that has been shared publicly, let alone our small area within it – clearly there isn't one, as the Department of Primary Industries has not finished its viability assessment. The consideration of the Agriculture and Agribusiness precinct is not a priority and we are left to just continue, as if we were already pastoral land, with no vital services such as sewerage , water and NBN whilst our neighbours literally across the road will receive this needed infrastructure. Well that is not good enough. There are some 204 residents/properties in this boundary and we deserve to be treated fairly, not left to waste away for 10years until the government can work out how to make our area within this agricultural /agribusiness precinct viable. Any plans by residents during that time to start businesses, renovate/build etc will be covered with the limitations of potential agricultural land use.

I want our area and my land to be considered now in the context of what is happening across the priority areas in the Aerotropolis and in the context of the South Creek West development There is valid justification for land uses that include residential, commercial enterprise/industry within our area. To incorporate our area under the agriculture and agribusiness precinct has no precedent to support it and at present no science to validate its viability.

I have included the Bringelly Aerotropolis Agriculture Agribusiness Action group in this email, so they know of my personal views as a resident in this area. Knowing my community, I am sure that both individually and collectively we will express our anger and frustration with the proposed land use and the process that has in effect excluded us from the rest of the Bringelly community

I want my land considered equally in the context of what else is happening across the whole of the Bringelly community. All attempts will be made for these views to be heard in relevant forums.

Regards

A large black rectangular redaction box covering the signature and name of the sender.