

[REDACTED]

From: system@acelo.com on behalf of Abdou Latif Meate [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, 15 October 2018 4:31 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Submission Details for Abdou Latif Meate (comments)
Attachments: 284973_Letter_Abdou Latif Meate_05.10.18.pdf

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

Confidentiality Requested: no

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements:

Name: Abdou Latif Meate
[REDACTED]

Address:
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Content:
See attached.

IP Address: - 141.243.33.161

Submission: Online Submission from Abdou Latif Meate (comments)
https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=284973

Submission for Job: #9552

https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9552

Site: #0

https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0

To whom it may concern,

I am a resident of Bringelly and surrounding areas which has now been confirmed that this will be the new Aerotropolis Core for the Badgery's Creek airport. We have now been shown maps and information that over 200 properties will be used as greenspace/non-urban for the government's needs as they are classing our properties as flood plains.

We purchased land knowing that we had an either no or small area of our land called 1 in 100 flood but we have just learnt with all the maps that the government have provided for our property, it is now at HIGH, MED, and LOW flood lines and was changed without consulting residents in 2012 and I believe it was don't so only to benefits the Aerotropolis Core.

We have seen nothing on media outlets about the residents that they are trying to deceit out of their properties and the stress and anxiety this is causing landowners.

We would like you to question these government bodies as the meeting that they held for the residents was very vague and dishonest, as New South Wales planning have not been forthcoming with the full details with the definition of Non-Urban. Trying to acquire so many properties (1950 hectares) with restrictive E – Zoning/Non-Urban on the basis of an environmental report that is not finished or available, which no one has seen. Not even a flood plain that no one knows about and not knowing when this was done? Could this be a sophisticated plan to obtain value capture to pay for all the infrastructure needed for the airport? To get us out as cheaply as possible, and then come back and rezone for the developers, so they will have interrupted view from multi-storey apartments and commercial buildings, reaping more in prime location minutes from a 24 hour airport! All whilst us landowners are disadvantaged.

We live in Australia, and this is Un-Australian, we have rights, we need to ask for a serious investigation here to bring to light the disgraceful lack of information, how we were informed in the first instance and why we were called to attend a community meeting that gave us no information or answers. I would like to know what private land ownership actually means in New South Wales and has this meaning been corroded over time through legislation.

Many of these 200 residents have now consulted environmental lawyers and we are looking to do a class action suit if the government proceeds as their dealing with us have been very non-transparent and we only see these changes benefiting New South Wales government at the sacrifice of residents.

We are begging all media outlets, help us bring this to light so we can have an enquiry on how and why they can just turn people's lives and financial positions upside down. As the government is advertising on how beneficial this development would be to ALL landholders and for us to find out that it will only be for some, we need help to ensure that the government bodies are held accountable and treat the average Australian landowner in this Aerotropolis core development fairly and with dignity. If the government needs this green space land for the development to proceed, we land owners must be compensated at the same market value of other land owners in the blue/yellow stripe area which will be developed into high-rises and other types of development.

I would appreciate to be contacted regarding this matter and happy to supply further information.

NAME: [REDACTED]

ADDRESS: [REDACTED] ek

PHONE: [REDACTED]

EMAIL ADDRESS: [REDACTED]

FOR THE ATTENTION OF: [REDACTED]

Dear Sir,

I am a concerned residents of [REDACTED] (South Creek precinct). I have recently been informed that my proposed zoning has been changed from Urban Employment (Light Industrial) to Non-Urban.

It appears that this was done due to reassessment of the flood plains in this area. The formula for measurement of a flood is 700 mL of rain continuously for three days over the whole of the Warragamba Catchment Area. If a flood of this magnitude occurred, it would be a catastrophic event. The drowning deaths in Penrith Valley and beyond would be at least 130,000 people and the west of Sydney as we know it would be underwater! The feasibility of this happening is 1:1000 years and hence our flood rating comes into play.

I purchased my freehold land as "Flood Free" (1:100 years) in Kemps Creek as RY4 - a small parcel of land for farming (or hobby farming as it was known). I have lived here for five years and my property has never flooded and has never had a minor flash flooding due to non-maintenance of South Creek.

I also bring to your attention the fact that the floodplain rezoning was restructured in 2012 without public consultation or we, the ratepayers, being informed. I found out in September 2018 at a rezoning information meeting.

At this same meeting, we were also informed that my land is being dezoned from RU4 (Primary Industrial) to E (Non-Urban) rendering my land valueless. Again, there was no consultation process.

According to the government zoning website, Non-Urban equates to non-use of our land, NOTHING can be done to improve it, NOTHING can be built or added to it, not even a dog pen! In the event of a disaster (e.g. storm damage, fire, accidental damage) our property cannot be repaired or rebuilt. At this stage, we do not even know if we can get insurance on our property. It may even be illegal for the residents of the South Creek precinct to live in their dwellings on their own properties! It is our understanding that no one should reside on Non-Urban lands, yet the State government has said that we can stay and our land and DO NOTHING, making us stagnant beings.

We were told that this dezoning is necessary green space, all 1950 ha (5000 acres) of it. Hence 250 farmers are rendered worthless, making them unsellable, yet our neighbours are worth millions due to their rezoning to Urban Employment. All previous available maps of our land showed that it was to be rezoned Urban Employment or housing. For this to occur, the South Creek precinct bloodlines would need to be brought back to 1:100 year flood lines. It is our understanding that once South Creek is rehabilitated, flash flooding would be minimal.

Furthermore, advice has been sought and received. We are working with professionals to ascertain whether there is compliance with their Environmental Planning and Assessment act of 1979, section 4.15.

There must be a way for the government to achieve its desired outcomes and to alleviate that uncertainty, stress and anxiety of the residents of the South Creek precinct. All landowners in this area should be entitled to equitable remuneration of their properties. It is unfair that some of us have an uncertain financial future when others have guaranteed financial security for themselves and their families.

SIGNED: _____ DATE: _____

[REDACTED]

Subject: . New Aerotropolis Core - South Creek Precinct - Kemps Creek & Surrounding areas rezoning

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Monday, 24 September 2018 1:27 PM

To: [REDACTED]

Subject: New Aerotropolis Core - South Creek Precinct - Kemps Creek & Surrounding areas rezoning

To whom this May Concern,

I am a concerned resident who will be affected by the South Creek Precinct and have just realised that the government is trying to be deceitful as usual. As shown on the maps, the non-urban zone is affecting over 240 properties as they class this as flood and the government is trying to zone this so our land is worth nothing so they can use it as green-space and non-urban. We purchased knowing that we had a small section of our land called 1 in 100 flood we have just learnt with all the maps that the government have provided for our property is now at High, Medium and Low flood lines and was changed without consulting residents in 2012 and I believe it was for exactly this reason....

How can they justify this by saying we are all non-urban and worth nothing and our neighbours across the street will be developed into sky rises and infrastructure, many people have lived here for decades and this issue is seriously concerning as it is causing anxiety and stress.

We would like you to question these government bodies as the meetings that they held for the residents were very vague and dishonest as they could not answer our questions with what was happening, how are we in Australia treated like this?

We understand and accept that a second airport is necessary along with all its infrastructure, we are currently zoned RU4 which is primary production small lots in Liverpool council NSW, however with the new rezoning that the government want to allow over 200 residents NON-URBAN, this will mean no further buildings or changes to our properties, how is this legal? This is my land which I purchased and I cannot build a chicken pen? How can the government force this?

We feel we are being sacrificed in order for the Aerotropolis Core to proceed.

Many of these 200 residents have now consulted Environmental lawyers and we are looking to do a class action suit if the government proceed as their dealing with us have been very non transparent and we only see these changes benefiting NSW government at the sacrifice of residents.

We are requesting people like yourself and all the media outlets to help us bring this to light so we can have an enquiry on how and why they can just turn peoples lives and financial positions upside down. As the government is advertising on how beneficial this development would be to ALL landholders and for us to find out that will only be for some, we need help to ensure that the government bodies are held accountable and treat the average Australian land owner in this Aerotropolis core development fairly and with dignity. If the

government needs this green-space land for the development to proceed, us land owners must be compensated at the same market value of the land in the blue/ yellow stripe zones which will be developed into high rises and other types of development.

I would appreciate to be contacted regarding this matter and happy to supply further information.

Kind Regards

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.