

[REDACTED]

From: system@acelo.com on behalf of [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, 9 October 2018 9:45 AM
To: James Sellwood
Subject: Submission Details
Attachments: 283836_Bringelly Submission [REDACTED]

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Confidentiality Requested: yes

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements: yes

Name: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Address:
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Content:
Please find attached my submission regarding the re-zoning of land around [REDACTED]

IP Address: - 210.9.25.2
Submission: Online Submission from [REDACTED]
https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=283836

Submission for Job: #9552
https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9552

Site: #0
https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

6 October 2018 [REDACTED]

Director, Aerotropolis Activation
Department of Planning
GPO Box 39
Sydney NSW 2001

Dear Sir / Madam,

I would like to submit the following information about the proposed zoning around Kelvin Park Drive Bringelly.:

- a) I live in [REDACTED] and my address is [REDACTED]. My property is within the planned [REDACTED]. I request that my property be re-zoned to Aerotropolis Core and not South Creek Precinct and I submit for your consideration the following:
- c) We purchased the property about twelve years ago. It was advertised as FLOOD FREE. I have a copy of the original advertisement. Furthermore, at that time, our solicitors obtained a flood report from Liverpool Council. The report stated the land was flood free and above the 1:100 flood, that is, in a large substantial one-in-a-hundred-year flood, our land would not be affected. There was no report that stated the land is flood land. Based on this, we purchased the property in good faith. Can the Department understand why I am so devastated that the NSW Planning Department is now stating that my property is flood land?
- d) By proposing to re-zone my land from RU4 to South Creek Precinct, in effect, the Department has substantially devalued my property. I had a dream to develop the land when the Airport is being built. We purchased the land at a huge cost and made many sacrifices in order to attain our dream. I worked and still work between 60 – 70 hours a week in order I can pay off the mortgage on the land. While we could have sold the property at any time, we kept it because of our dream to develop the land as our superannuation and retirement fund and to leave something to our children and grandchildren. That dream is now shattered.

While I understand that actual re-zoning will be done by end of 2019, I humbly request the Department to re-look at my property and you will see immediately the great potential to develop the land. Our property is [redacted] from the South Creek and 209 metres from Thompson Creek. The land is mostly flat and its potential is enormous. My neighbour in [redacted] is also in the similar position, however looking at the Google maps, my neighbour in [redacted] is in the [redacted] [redacted] is actually closer to [redacted] It makes no sense to me.

I sincerely believe if the Department re-looks at my property, you will zone it Aerotropolis Core. Please re-look at my property.

f) We have always trusted the governments to look after us and always had total faith in their considerations. We are very shell-shocked and are unable to do anything else. We do not know who to turn to for help.

g) While I applaud that there should be some parklands and recreational and community facilities near the new airport ("the Green Spine"), land up to 200 metres of either side of the South Creek should be sufficient for this. On the other hand, Thompson Creek is only a minor tributary to the South Creek. Thompson Creek is not even a creek, it is only a stream. The Department should zone all land around Thompson Creek as mixed use (Aerotropolis Core) and let the private sector develop innovative solutions to utilise all of the land around Thompson Creek.

The maximum width of the Thompson Creek is not more than 2-3 metres wide and yet it is affecting the potential development of many properties around my property, including mine, worth hundreds of millions of dollars. My property, falling between the two creeks, is on highly valuable land and would be better utilised for mixed use, comprising commercial and residential. Large portions of highly valuable land will be wasted just for the sake of a few cycleways, some café and restaurants and some recreational facilities.

I sincerely request that the Department re-look at my property and zone it to Aerotropolis Core.

Yours Sincerely

[redacted signature]