

[REDACTED]

---

**From:** system@acelo.com on behalf of Nola Hendy [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Sunday, 28 October 2018 1:52 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Submission Details for Nola Hendy (comments)  
**Attachments:** 290629\_Nola Hendy submission.pdf

Confidentiality Requested: no

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements: yes

Name: Nola Hendy

Email: [REDACTED]

Address:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Content:  
upload below

IP Address: - 59.100.152.33

Submission: Online Submission from Nola Hendy (comments)

[https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view\\_activity&id=290629](https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=290629)

Submission for Job: #9552

[https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view\\_job&id=9552](https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9552)

Site: #0

[https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view\\_site&id=0](https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0)



My name is Nola Hendy, [REDACTED] I am writing in relation to the proposed Aerotropolis/South Creek Precinct.

My land has been listed to be part of the South Creek Precinct, I was aware when I purchased the land that part of it was zoned 1:100 flood but the rest was able to be built on. The South Creek Precinct is listed to be zoned Non-urban, I understand that I must sell to the government, prior to rezoning at the current RU4 (primary production small lots) value, which will be substantially less than the value of the land in the proposed Aerotropolis core.

This is the third time that I have been effected by government zonings. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]  
government announced the services corridor, our land was listed as part of that corridor, we could not sell privately, only to the government. We opted to stay as it appeared that it would be a long time before the land was needed by the government, over time, some of our neighbours did sell to the government, but still nothing was done with the corridor.

After many years, the land in our area was scheduled for release for residential development, at this time I was informed that our land had been released from the corridor and could subsequently be developed, by this time, my children had all left home and sadly, my husband had passed away and I was alone.

I was then advised by Liverpool Council that the lower part of each of my three acre blocks was to be zoned for parkland. As a consequence of this, when I sold, the developer took the parkland zoning into account and I received approximately half as much as the properties across the street which were not affected by parkland zoning.

I considered myself very lucky that, at the time I sold the [REDACTED] [REDACTED] became available for sale, the purchase of this property, [REDACTED], allowed me to maintain my independent lifestyle in a rural setting [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

I am now [REDACTED] I have no wish to move, but understand that I will have no option, this is very distressing for me. I had also thought that I would be able to leave a substantial [REDACTED] [REDACTED], but it appears that once again my property will be devalued, my past experience tells me that parkland zoning/non-urban zoning decreases the value. I therefore request that when evaluating the land for purchase in the South Creek Precinct that the value of developable land in the area be used as a guideline for assessment so that landowners within South Creek Precinct are not disadvantaged.

Regards

Nola Hendy

