

[REDACTED]

From: system@acelo.com on behalf of Jose.E. Rodriguez [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, 28 October 2018 8:06 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Submission Details for Jose.E. Rodriguez (comments)
Attachments: 290665_land green space.pdf

Confidentiality Requested: no

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements: yes

Name: Jose.E. Rodriguez

Email: [REDACTED]

Address:

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Content:

See uploaded PDF

IP Address: - 111.220.146.45

Submission: Online Submission from Jose.E. Rodriguez (comments)
https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=290665

Submission for Job: #9552

https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9552

Site: #0

https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0

TO:
The Director
Aerotropolis Activation
Department of Planning
GPO BOX 39
Sydney NSW 2001

We are residents and landowners of [REDACTED] and currently lived in this address and have done so for years.

Jose.E.Rodriguez [REDACTED]
Isabel Rodriguez [REDACTED]
Gema Rodriguez [REDACTED]
Jose Rodriguez [REDACTED]

We have sat down and have written this letter together.
We as landholders have great concerns, with regards to the draft plans of Aerotropolis core for the Badgery creek airport.

We feel the government has not been transparent and fair with the entire proposed submission.

We feel our future and the repercussion of our land has not been explained or made clear to us.

We have been worried, anxious, and have not been sleeping well since plans were released with little information to exactly what does our zoning mean?

We were also surprised to find out floodplain rezoning was restricted in 2012 without public consultation.

[REDACTED] and have seen flash flooding's due to the lack of maintenance of the creeks in the area.

However, our property has never been flooded and has not been affected by flooding when flash flooding's have occurred.

Current daft plans show our land has been affected and zoned half green space and half future residential.

What does that mean for us? and who acquires or secures green space for future urbanisation growth.

If our land is marked green space (non urban) we shouldn't have to be the providers of this. The government needs to acquire/secure greenspace, they should be buying it at a fair price.

Why should hard working Australian families scarifies there hard earnt sweat to tailor the need of a government proposal. All we ask is to be treated fairly.

All landowner in this area should be entitled to equitable remuneration for their land.

It is unfair that some of us have an uncertain financial future when others have guaranteed financial security for themselves and their families.

We understand and accept that a second airport is necessary along with all its infrastructure.

If we have to go because of development is coming, we cannot control this.

We are proud Australians and respect the Australian law. In return we ask the Australian government to be transparent, fair and for us to be treated equally at all levels.

WE JUST WANT TO BE TREATED WITH FAIRNESS AND COMPENSATED TO RESTART OUR LIVES TO CONTINUE OUR LIFESTYLE.

We would appreciate your acknowledgement of receiving this letter.

Regards

The Rodriguez Family.

Mrs Isabel Rodriguez