

[REDACTED]

From: system@acelo.com on behalf of Agostino Scarfo [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, 30 October 2018 3:28 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Submission Details for Agostino Scarfo (comments)
Attachments: 291051_Submission Detail for Agostino Scarfo _private_.pdf

Confidentiality Requested: no

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements:

Name: Agostino Scarfo

Email: [REDACTED]

Address:

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Content:

Please see attached.

IP Address: - 141.243.33.161

Submission: Online Submission from Agostino Scarfo (comments)
https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=291051

Submission for Job: #9552

https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9552

Site: #0

https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0

Director, Aerotropolis Activation
Department of Planning and Environment
GPO Box 3, SYDNEY 2000

I refer to the rezoning of my land to non urban at [REDACTED] Kemps Creek and make the following comments in relation thereto.

I [REDACTED] am [REDACTED]'s husband who lived with her family at [REDACTED] My uncle [REDACTED] and his family live on the part of the property known as [REDACTED].

My father in law [REDACTED] acquired this land in [REDACTED] together with his brother who also lives on the property. The land was for the purpose of establishing their families and raising them in a peaceful and clean environment. They did not expect to have to change our lives because of a government decision which will be detrimental to us and our families. They were expecting to continue to have quiet enjoyment and now that their children are married and one is expecting a child it would have been a perfect place to further enjoy our lives. In addition when they purchased the property we were told that we could choose our house number and we did so. This, in effect, suggested that we could have five homes on the property which further added value to the property.

I understand that if the rezoning is passed then the land we are on will be substantially devalued which I believe is not only unfair and unconscionable is not what our Governments are mandated to do. They are suppose to protect the rights of people like my father in law and his family and the original proposal for industrial use of the site was the correct one where building design and form could overcome obstacles. On that note it is interesting to see that the m7 motor way was built and some land alongside was zoned as recreational because it was flood prone according to the experts at the time. It now has many businesses on the land including McDonalds, other eateries and a service station. The land is on Cowpasture Road. I wonder if in the future this may happen with our land.

The land lends itself to development based on fairness and equity and obviously was not considered undevelopable at some stage, we all built our homes here, we were all led to believe that if the airport did affect us it would be useful as industrial land.

All I and our families want is to allow them to be able to live in a similar environment and ensure that if we have to sell through no fault of our own that we are compensated to allow us to move on and also share in the benefits that other land/home owners in Sydney have been able to achieve with their properties and be able to live and eventually retire with dignity and enough equity to have a good life which is why they worked hard.

Yours faithfully

[REDACTED]