

[REDACTED]

From: system@acelo.com on behalf of Martin and Susan Ciappara [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, 31 October 2018 2:17 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Submission Details for Martin and Susan Ciappara (comments)
Attachments: 291357_Submission Details from Martin and Susan Ciappara 20181029.pdf

Confidentiality Requested: no

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements:

Name: Martin and Susan Ciappara

Email: [REDACTED]

Address:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Content:

Please see attached.

IP Address: - 141.243.33.161

Submission: Online Submission from Martin and Susan Ciappara (comments)

https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=291357

Submission for Job: #9552

https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9552

Site: #0

https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

For the Attention of : [REDACTED]

Dear Sir,

We are concerned residents of [REDACTED] (South Creek Precinct) within the new Aerotropolis Core for the Badgery's Creek Airport.

More than half of our property has been placed in the South Creek precinct. We can't understand why our property has been placed within this NON-URBAN Zone! This means that no further building or changes to our property will be allowed. This rezoning will devalue our property substantially.

We purchased our property [REDACTED] knowing that our land was NOT in the 1:100 flood plain. We are situated well outside the flood zone. The rear boundary of our property is situated more than [REDACTED] from Thompson Creek! Our property has never flooded in the [REDACTED] years we have lived here [REDACTED]. We were not even informed about the new flood plain that was introduced in 2012. Wouldn't you think that council or any other authority would have an obligation to inform us of a massive variation to the flood zoning.

How can you justify saying our perfectly good non-flood prone land should be turned it into open space? We will suffer great financial loss as a result. We believe the South Creek Precinct should be reduced to follow the original 1:100 flood plain taking areas from either side of the creek, not only one side of the creek.

We believe our land has potential for future development. All properties situated in [REDACTED] are currently valued equally, with this provided zoning it seems they are de-zoning landowners properties in the South Creek precinct, taking good developable land for non urban, this is not acceptable! [REDACTED] properties were zoned years ago and they should all be zoned the same. All acquired land in this precinct should be acquired at equal value to the rest of the Aerotropolis Core land.

All previous available maps of our land showed that it was to be rezoned Urban Employment or housing. For this to occur, as we previously suggested that the South Creek flood lines would need to be brought back to the 1:100 year flood lines.

We live in Australia, and this is un-Australian - we have rights - we need to ask for a serious investigation here, to bring to light the disgraceful lack of information, how we were informed in the first instance and why we were called to attend a Community meeting then gave us no information or answers.

There must be a way for the government to achieve its desired outcomes and to alleviate the uncertainty, stress and anxiety of the residents of the South Creek Precinct. ALL land owners in this area should be entitled to fair compensation for their properties. It is unfair that some of us have an uncertain financial future when others have guaranteed financial security for themselves and their families.

We would appreciate to be contacted regarding this matter and happy to supply any further information if required.

Regards

[REDACTED]