

[REDACTED]

From: system@acelo.com on behalf of [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, 2 November 2018 5:11 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Submission Details

Confidentiality Requested: yes

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements: yes

Name: [REDACTED]
Email: [REDACTED]

Address: [REDACTED]

Content:

It is odd that some properties are listed as being in an aircraft noise affected area but are also in the South Creek parkland/recreation area. Aircraft noise is not compatible with parkland/recreation uses. Land in the aircraft noise areas should not be in the South Creek precinct and instead should be in the Flexible Employment area especially when they are higher than the 1 in 100 year flood line.

The South Creek precinct areas have been set too broadly and even extend to areas that are above/higher than those shown on the NSW Governments Planning Portal as being 'Flood Planning' affected. To impose development restrictions (as proposed in the South Creek precinct) on land that is not 'Flood Planning' affected according to your own Planning Portal website is unfair to owners who have had regard to your Planning Portal historically. Areas not identified as being 'Flood Planning' affected on your planning portal should therefore be excluded from the South Creek precinct.

In the case that a property is only partially/minority affected by the South Creek precinct, the entire property should be excluded from the South Creek precinct.

IP Address: [REDACTED]
Submission: Online Submission from [REDACTED]
https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=291865

Submission for Job: #9552
https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9552

Site: #0
https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0