

[REDACTED]

From: system@acelo.com on behalf of Steven and Tanya Eades [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, 2 November 2018 9:46 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Submission Details for Steven and Tanya Eades (comments)
Attachments: 291896_Submission Details from Steven and Tanya Eades 20181030-2.pdf

Confidentiality Requested: no

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements:

Name: Steven and Tanya Eades

Email: [REDACTED]

Address:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Content:
Please see attached.

IP Address: - [REDACTED]

Submission: Online Submission from Steven and Tanya Eades (comments)
https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=291896

Submission for Job: #9552
https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9552

Site: #0
https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, 30 October 2018 8:48 PM

[REDACTED]

Subject: Proposed acquisition of Land

[REDACTED]

To Whom it may concern:

We are two very concerned residents [REDACTED], at this stage, value of our property will be affected immensely by the development of the South Creek Precinct.

We have lived in the [REDACTED] and purchased our property at [REDACTED]. The area provided a healthy and friendly environment for our children to grow up in. There was also the capacity for

our children to engage in activities that children in suburbia missed out on including motor bike riding, horse riding, go carting and fishing in Kemps Creek.

It is now our grandchildren's turn to be enjoying living on acreage, as they are the ones that are now motor bike riding and camping out in tents on our own property.

We purchased the property knowing that there was an area affected by the 1/100 flood. We made application to build another home on the property in [REDACTED], which passed council DA application. The proposed dwelling was

to finish back [REDACTED] from the front boundary and this was well outside of the PMF levels at that time. It appears new PMF levels have been drawn, without any land owners being notified at all.

In the proposal plan, we are located in the non-urban area, which would mean a significant drop in our land value, while our closet neighbours across the road will be able to be developed

When we purchased our property, We had a long-term plan- sale of the property many years later that would fund us in our retirement. We have both worked hard all our life, [REDACTED], to retain ownership of our property believing that it would be worth a substantial amount that we could become self-funded retirees and not have to rely on payment from the government when we retire.

We are very close to retirement and fear that we, and others in our community are being sacrificed so that the Aerotropolis can be proceed within their budget.

The government has stated that the development will be beneficial to all landholders and that everyone will be treated fairly within the Aerotropolis development.

For that to be achieved, All the people within the area planned for green space, which we realise must happen with such a huge development project, must be compensated to the same value of the land that falls within the blue/yellow zones, and in our case it is the property on the other side of the road.

The information that we have to date about the future of our property is causing us high levels of stress, which we feel we should not be suffering at this stage of life.

We are not greedy but ask again that we be paid the same value as our neighbours which will enable us to move on comfortably [REDACTED].

We would appreciate a reply being sent to us with regards to this matter.

[REDACTED]