

[REDACTED]

From: system@acelo.com on behalf of Richard Nowland
Sent: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Submission Details for Richard Nowland (comments)
Attachments: 291918_Submission Details for Richard Nowland 20181030.pdf

Confidentiality Requested: no

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements:

Name: Richard Nowland
Email: Email not provided

Address:
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Content:
Please see attached.

IP Address: - [REDACTED]
Submission: Online Submission from Richard Nowland (comments)
https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=291918

Submission for Job: #9552
https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9552

Site: #0
https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0

From:

system@alcatel.com on behalf of Richard Nowland

Sent:

Friday, 2 November 2018 10:58 AM

To:

Subject:

Submission Details for Richard Nowland (comment)
20181010 Submission Details for Richard Nowland 20181010.pdf

Attachments:

Confidentiality Requested: no

Submitted by a Planner: no

Responsible Official: Donation

Agreed to false or misleading information statements:

Name: Richard Nowland

Email: Email not provided

Address:

Contact:

Please see attached

IP Address: [REDACTED]

Submission: Online Submission from Richard Nowland (comment)
<https://www.alcatel.com/submit/view.asp?tyid=20181010>

Submission for Job: 20052

<https://www.alcatel.com/submit/view.asp?tyid=20052>

Site ID:

<https://www.alcatel.com/submit/view.asp?tyid=0>

30/10/2018

Letter of Objection - Stage 1 Landuse and
Infrastructure Implementation Plan -

Director, Aerotropolis Activation
Department of Planning

Firstly, I appreciate the time that
spent in answering my questions on 24 September and
for subsequently confirming the key points of our
discussion in writing.

I now wish to formally lodge objection to the
proposed boundary between the Aerotropolis Core and South
Creek Precincts as it relates to

I request that be included in the
Aerotropolis Core Precinct, ~~not~~ the South Creek Precinct.

The rationale for definition of the South Creek Precinct
boundary in this location remains unclear. In the
Manager's advice, "the boundaries of the precinct
reflect enviromental considerations including the Probable
Maximum Flood level... Development of this land
has the greatest potential to have environmental
implications for the creek system". I note that
under the Liverpool Local Environmental Plan,

is identified outside the Flood
Planning Area (1% AEP Flood plus 0.5 metre Freeboard).
If new Flood modelling has been undertaken, I
request the opportunity to seek expert peer

Typo

review. I also seek copies of other studies that identify any "environmental considerations" pertinent to [REDACTED]. I am not aware of any environmental values relevant to my property that would warrant the land's inclusion in the South Creek Precinct. I also question the basis for your conclusion that development of my land could not be designed and managed to avoid potential environmental impacts on the creek system.

I look forward to receiving the requested studies for review.

I maintain that my land is free of any such environmental consideration and is both capable and suitable of sustaining higher forms of development. Again, I object to the South Creek Precinct extending to include land to [REDACTED] this location and request that [REDACTED] be included in the Aerotropolis Core Precinct.

Yours sincerely

[REDACTED]