

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, 2 November 2018 4:15 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Submission Details
Attachments: 292172_Submission Details from [REDACTED] 20181102.pdf

Confidentiality Requested: yes

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements:

Name: [REDACTED]
Email: Email not provided

Address:

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Content:
Please see attached.

IP Address: - 141.243.33.161
Submission: Online Submission from [REDACTED] (comments)
https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=292172

Submission for Job: #9552
https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9552

Site: #0
https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

Friday, 26 October 2018

The Director,
Aerotropolis Activation
Department of Planning

RE:- South Creek Precinct and Proposed Re-Zoning

I [REDACTED] am writing to you regarding the troubling news I have heard since the release of the initial Aerotropolis Core Re-zoning plans in August. I am worried for the future of my property at [REDACTED] with majority of the property being deemed **NON-URBAN** in the initial rezoning plans sent out.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

What I don't understand is how by the stroke of a pen, that majority of my land, I have worked on, grown crops on, which are still done to this day, can be deemed **NON-URBAN** and essentially **unusable**. I can't comprehend how so much of my property has been consumed by this 'green' zone due to a small section at the back of my [REDACTED] block, which leaves only the frontage as usable and developable land. This re-zoning just doesn't affect the value of my property, but my financial well being as this land is my source of superannuation and a major source of income for myself.

I am currently at the stage in my life that I would like to see a better future [REDACTED] [REDACTED] A future, where the sacrifices, the hardship and the pain that I [REDACTED] endured will be for something, however news of these initial plans has left myself deeply saddened, disappointed and quite mad about what might occur to the legacy I have built on this land since arriving to this great country.

It is also upsetting seeing my neighbours across the street deemed to be in the 'golden' urban zone, as well as those directly opposite on the other side of the creek having no issues with their land being approved for medium to high-density residential subdivision. The proposed rezoning of land to Non-Urban has cause significant stress and anxiety for myself. I am having sleepless nights as my dream to leave a financial legacy to my children and grandchildren is suddenly at risk of immense depreciation in value.

I am proud to have been able to help [REDACTED] [REDACTED] Financially, they could not afford this dream without my support. The devaluation of my property and the acquisition of land now suggest that my son and his family will be left without their dream home and the opportunity to afford to purchase and build elsewhere when this land is inevitably acquired for the Aerotopolis. How will the **Land Acquisition (Fair Compensation) Act 1991** guarantee that I will receive fair and equitable compensation for acquisition of my land when it occurs. I am especially concerned about this, as my property has suffered from devaluation since the delivery of the current re-zoning proposal.

I am also concerned that at the Council presentation held at Bringelly Community Hall, Bringelly on 24 October 2018, highlighted South Creek is the "spine of the [REDACTED]

Aertropolis" describing it to be the most important aspect of the entire development as it will bring in **cafes, businesses, parks and leisure and of course employment and tourism**. How could there then possibly be such a proposal to turn this precinct into **NON-URBAN** flood zone land? This will directly affect not just me but many of my neighbours, friends and family who have made numerous sacrifices, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Many of whom have resided in the area close to [REDACTED] and will suffer extreme financial loss, should the 1:100 lines not be return to their original state and land be allowed to be zoned for developments like it was before this recent proposal. I appreciate there is a requirement for land to be retained for biodiversity means, infrastructure etc. However, there are significant areas of land owned by government bodies within the precincts that could be utilised for this very need. Why are hard working residents like myself, being affected potentially loosing our life savings?

I do not oppose the change but do submit that our land is zoned as **developable land**. I note Oran Park was once flood land yet this same land is now medium to high density residential land with a major shopping centre. Likewise, Horningsea Park, [REDACTED] was similarly within the 1:100 zone, yet it was developed and raised not to flood. These are only to name a couple of surrounding suburbs where "**flood and low lying land**" was approved as developable land.

In conclusions I would like to thank you for taking the time to review my submission to remove the proposal of Non-Urban and return the 1:100 floods to the original parameter. I appreciate that you are extremely busy, however would greatly appreciate a written reply to my submission in the near future.

Yours sincerely,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

1. Introduction

2. Methodology

3. Results

4. Discussion

5. Conclusion

6. References

7. Appendix

8. Acknowledgements

9. Contact Information

10. Summary

11. Abstract

12. Keywords