

[REDACTED]

---

**From:** system@acelo.com on behalf of [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Friday, 2 November 2018 8:41 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Submission Details  
**Attachments:** 292285\_Bono Submission 2.11.18.pdf

Confidentiality Requested: yes

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements: yes

Name: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Address:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Content:

Submission uploaded. see attached

IP Address: - 49.195.164.143

Submission: Online Submission from [REDACTED] (comments)

[https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view\\_activity&id=292285](https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=292285)

Submission for Job: #9552

[https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view\\_job&id=9552](https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9552)

Site: #0

[https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view\\_site&id=0](https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0)

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

Please withhold any personal details from being published

Dear Sir/Madam,

I write in relation to the proposed Western Sydney Airport and surrounding Aerotropolis.

Firstly, I would like to state that my husband and I are not opposed to the construction of the Western Sydney Airport and/or the supporting Aerotropolis. In fact, we believe it is important that Sydney should finally have a second airport and the infrastructure to support its success. The creation of such a wealth of jobs and opportunities in Western Sydney is only going to benefit our children in the future. The idea of cutting commute time for so many people, and the opportunity to work close to home in what looks to be such beautiful surrounds is a fabulous opportunity for many, not to mention the convenience of an airport on our doorstep instead of a minimum of 2hrs away in traffic.

However, we are concerned about certain aspects of what is proposed within the Land Use and Infrastructure Implementation Plan (LUIIP) and the flow on effects to our family.

[REDACTED]

Submission November 2018

Please withhold any personal details from being published

[REDACTED]

We are, therefore, totally opposed to the proposal of widening South Creek and its tributary Thompsons creek which is currently a relatively narrow creek which rarely holds any water. Even after the recent spate of rain there was NO FLOWING WATER in Thompsons creek at all at any point. [REDACTED]

Based on information contained within the LUIIP it is proposed that the width of South Creek be widened to what appears to be in the order of 2km in some areas. This affects so many people [REDACTED]

In our opinion there is no justification for that much land to be zoned Non-Urban. This is confirmed by the fact that according to the artist impression within the report the width of South Creek will remain minimal and the majority of the land which is proposed to be zoned Non-Urban would be developed for a multipurpose commercial precinct and multilevel apartment blocks.

We put to you that the non urban zoning devalues our land and enables the NSW Government to buy the land at a very cheap price only to then resell this land to overseas investors at a much higher rate to be developed into high rise and commercial developments as per the information packs we have seen that are being sent overseas to entice investors.

Why should we be financially disadvantaged and the government and/or overseas investors benefit from our foresight to buy in this area? [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Sincerely,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] submission November 2018