

[REDACTED]

---

**From:** system@acelo.com on behalf of Poppy Samaan [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, 5 November 2018 11:12 AM  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Submission Details for Poppy Samaan (comments)  
**Attachments:** 292936\_Submission Details from Poppy Samaan 20181102.pdf

Confidentiality Requested: no

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements:

Name: Poppy Samaan

Address:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Content:  
Please see attached.

IP Address: - 141.243.33.161

Submission: Online Submission from Poppy Samaan (comments)  
[https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view\\_activity&id=292936](https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=292936)

Submission for Job: #9552  
[https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view\\_job&id=9552](https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9552)

Site: #0  
[https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view\\_site&id=0](https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0)



Thursday, 25<sup>th</sup> October 2018

The Director,

Aerotropolis Activation

Department of Planning

GPO BOX 38

SYDNEY, NSW 2001

Dear Director,

Re: My Submission to change the ReZoning of South Creek Precinct to Non –Urban.

I am a resident on [REDACTED] Rossmore. My husband and I moved to this area [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] The decision  
to move to this area was an easy transition as it brought us closer to family and relatives. We  
shared our hour home [REDACTED] with my parents [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Since the announcement by your Department identifying that the South Creek Precinct is  
proposed to be zoned as Non-Urban for flooding and water flow catchment area, it has  
caused me to experience increased health issues. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

The financial impact of this area being proposed for Non-urban has led to what I believe real-estate market slump in the value of our property and that of neighbors. Therefore we submit that the term of Non-Urban is removed from the current proposal or any future proposal within plans for rezoning. We have lived here [REDACTED] and have never experienced a flood near or on our land. It is our submission is this removed immediately. That the flood lines of 1:100 are returned to their original parameter prior to the current proposal.

This proposal has significantly affected us, this is our superannuation and by virtue of this it is our pension we did not intend nor believe we would need to consider becoming a burden to government support in our retirement years. We rely on the potential income and financial support we believed it would provide as it has for our neighbours in areas such are Oran Park, Austral, Hoxton Park, Horsley Park and will provide those addresses within the Aerotropolis Core. The sudden devaluation of our property due this proposal limits us as parents to provide financial security to our children and saddens me deeply as as a mother, it brings about a sense of failure. It has given raise to the fact we as parents after years of sacrifice and honest hard work have failed to deliver for our children. We bought this land with the vision to prevent our children experiencing the hardships we did in our youthful years of our married life.

The proposed rezoning to Non-urban renders my husband financial deficient as he will be required to secure alternative venue to park his vehicles – trucks. My son will also be required to move his truck he has just started his own business this will have considerable impact for him financially. The impact of this property being zone Non –Urban will render my family financially crippled. Considering the allowances set out in the environmental Planning and Assessment Act 197, it is my limited understanding there consideration should be given as to whether is alternatives to reduce flood or flood catchment areas. I would suggest these alternative were taken into account with other now developed areas. Our property if currently

RU4 and we would like consideration to be given what alternatives to could make available that would continue to provide for the Aerotropolis and the needs of the new airport while allowing our property to be developable land, instead of resulting in land fragmentation and inequality in compensation for land acquisition.

We are not opposed to change or development including the constructions of the airport or the Aerotropolis as this will be bring tourism, employment opportunities, development and much more. We also recognise for this to occur the acquisition of land will provide for this but currently at significant loss to myself and in my view all residents who fall within the South Creek Precinct. While I understand there will be compensation, as provided pursuant to the Land Acquisition (Fair Compensation) Act, my highest concern is that we would like to be assured that any acquisition of land part or whole, is done so fairly and equitable in accordance to market value of our neighbours such as those [REDACTED] in Austral. I am however grateful that there was some acknowledgment at the Community Forum held on 24/10/2018 that the South Creek Precinct is the "Spine of the Aerotropolis and noting we are the most important", I only hope that as were viewed so important we are also compensated accordingly.

I would like to take this opportunity to thank you to review my submission, and look forward to hearing back from both in writing and by phone if required (details below).



