

[REDACTED]

From: system@acelo.com on behalf of [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, 5 November 2018 12:59 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Submission Details
Attachments: 292992_Submission Details from [REDACTED] 20181102.pdf

Confidentiality Requested: yes

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements:

Name: [REDACTED]
Email: [REDACTED]

Address:
Address not provided

[REDACTED]

Content:
Please see attached. Please treat this submission as PRIVATE and CONFIDENTIAL. Please do not publish my personal and address details.

IP Address: - 141.243.33.161
Submission: Online Submission from [REDACTED] (comments)
https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=292992

Submission for Job: #9552
https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9552

Site: #0
https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[REDACTED]

Monday 29 October 2018

The Director,

Aerotropolis Activation

Department of Planning and Environment

Dear Sir/Madam,

RE:- South Creek Precinct and Proposed Re-Zoning

My name is [REDACTED] and have been a resident in Rossmore [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

However this being said, the decision to build our home [REDACTED] and as mentioned above the sacrifices were extreme. We worked hard both on and in gainful employment to to develop our land making many progressive modifications. These included but not limited to extensions, landscaping and my pride and joy [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] But without the work, we could not keep building towards and developing our superannuation as we had hoped [REDACTED] It may not be a reality for the Department of Planning and Environment as there is no emotional attachment to our home, those in authority didn't make the sacrifices we did for my home. Therefore its understandable there is not consideration this proposal is having not just from a financial stand point but also from a personal, emotional and physically taxing point. This proposal has rendered me frozen, feeling ill daily and unable to function. [REDACTED] ive always prided myself to be active and alert. I do not and have not felt this way since the consultation process commenced in late September. It was my hope that my sacrifices would lead to my children reaping the benefits of financial security. The proposal for South Creek Precinct to rezone our district as Non-Urban is not only disgusting but has devalued my home significantly.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] has tried to explain to me the new law made called the **Western Sydney and Aerotropolis Authority Act 2018** and to me it seems this law gives even more power to the developers and government sectors who will need to acquire all or part of my land. This appears a little Henry VIII behaviour really, correct?

However, as with any major development there is always a need for acquisition of land etc. It is necessary for the development. However, what I am perplexed about is the proposal seems to want to acquire over 4000 acres for Non-Urban land and flood lines boundaries have increased to 1:100 over property that does not flood now was it purchased within the 1:100 flood line as our property was not at the time of purchase in 1969!

Given the devaluation of land, which I firmly believe is a direct result of the proposed plan for South Creek Precinct to be zoned as Non-Urban; there is no information which identifies all the alternatives to ensure water catchment is readily available for airport use. The term Non-Urban is a deliberate act on behalf of this department to set about to devalue our land so that at the time of acquisition of land, the surrounding market value will be so low we will be need to start applying for housing commission homes as I know I will never be able to afford to build the home I have at today's prices. It is my submission that there is an immediate removal of the term Non-Urban from the current and any future proposals and rezoning for the South Creek Precinct. I make this submission based on the following:

- a) Our property is in very close proximity to the Aerotropolis. The market value to land in this precinct will be substantially significant.
- b) Property sales for the area have dropped considerable since the proposal was announced and value of land has also dropped.
- c) The psychological and physical impact this proposal has cause me to experience in recent weeks is becoming worse by the notion I not only be run out of home as my friends were in Leppington and Edmonson Park, but will be ushered out with little compensation. I am unable to work the hours I did to be able to afford a property as valuable as this and as well constructed as this home is at today's prices.
- d) Our land is developable land. Rossmore and the whole of South Creek Precinct is a mixed zone, business, motor industry, local produce, agriculture, residential and commercial properties. Our land and that of our neighbors within the SCP must be zone mixed – our land is currently RU4 and we seek for it to become mixed

[REDACTED]

PLEASE DO NOT PUBLISH MY PERSONAL AND ADDRESS DETAILS.

commercial and medium to high density developable land. We are not a flood plain or at risk of flooding.

- e) Any acquisition of land is compensated fairly and at an equitable rate to my neighbors in surrounding suburbs. Comparing our homes to outer Camden and Luddenham proves to me there is an absolute intention to steal my land from under me. Look what happened to residents at St. Peters. However suburbs such as Austral, Oran Park Harrington Park and the Aerotropolis would be a more direct market value surrounding our suburb.
- f) The 1:100 flood line is to be returned to its original lines. In all the years I have resided at Rossmore and I know the area well; our land has never experienced a flood. This line is ridiculous. There has been flash flooding but as a local it is clearly because South Creek has not been maintained, natural water easements have been altered affecting the natural water flow for the area. I have worked too hard all my life for me now to become significantly dependent upon government support, this is demoralising and psychological murderous.
- g) [REDACTED] has explained to me there is another law that speaks about the Environment and that when considering development there must proof all other possibilities have been considered. It seems to me that your department has just decided South Creek Precinct looks ok – lets take it for nothing, and zone it as Non-Urban. I am aware from the Council Forum last Wednesday – South Creek Precinct was termed "the Spine of the Aerotropolis" that's exactly what we are, yet we are flagged to be robbed of our superannuation and retire packages the financial plan for our children.
- h) The approximate [REDACTED] provides for parks and recreational facilities for this suburb it also backs onto South Creek therefore meets the biodiversity requirement of keeping green space and marking pockets of land in areas as Non-Urban. This land has never flooded either. There is another large block locally known as the Rossmore Pony club. This land is significantly large and meets the requirements of open land, Non –urban space.



[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] The proposal must be reconsidered. Progress is not the issue, nor the Aerotropolis or the Airport. I in fact welcome it. I never though it be start in my life time and it is, I am excited for those who will be able to reside in the area in years to come, I am disgusted the current proposal will cost me my livelihood and health as a result and your Department appear to not have a care about it all.

I make no apologies for the tone of my submissions you can appreciate I am very distressed. [REDACTED] is working hard to help us understand what is happening in the area and although made some minor grammatical changes these are my thoughts, feelings and words as to my submission and your consideration. I implore you to reconsidered and heed our knowledge of the area. Our property does not flood therefore the 1:100 must be returned to it original boundary and the proposed zoning of South creek Precinct as Non-Urban must be formerly removed asap.

I absolutely thank you for taking the time to read my submission, I know there are many however, if you send me a written reply as what will be or has been determined I would greatly appreciate it.

Kind regards,,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

10/10/10

10/10/10

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]