

[REDACTED]

---

**From:** system@acelo.com on behalf of Les and Daphne Bleasdale  
**Sent:** [REDACTED]  
Monday, 5 November 2018 2:26 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Submission Details for Les and Daphne Bleasdale (comments)  
**Attachments:** 293035\_Submission Details from Les and Daphne Bleasdale 20181102.pdf

Confidentiality Requested: no

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements:

Name: Les and Daphne Bleasdale

Email: Email not provided

Address:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Content:

Please see attached.

IP Address: - [REDACTED]

Submission: Online Submission from Les and Daphne Bleasdale (comments)

[https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view\\_activity&id=293035](https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=293035)

Submission for Job: #9552

[https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view\\_job&id=9552](https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9552)

Site: #0

[https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view\\_site&id=0](https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0)

[REDACTED]

2<sup>nd</sup> November 2018

Dear Sir/Madam,

Re: Land Use Infrastructure Implementation Plan (WSA LUIP).

We are the owners of [REDACTED] - which is just outside the Agribusiness Area in the LUIP.

We ask that our land to be included the current LUIP and the Agriculture and Agribusiness Precinct.

We are members of the **Western Sydney Airport Agribusiness Landowner Group (WSAALG)** which is making our group submission through Think Planners. We endorse this submission and also make our own support submission on the WSA LUIP.

The Agribusiness Precinct needs to be large enough to be an international standard Precinct. It should be extended to the west from the airport to service the airport and should include our land and lands further west to make it an economic size.

We also think the Agribusiness Precinct should be prioritised and included in LUIP Stage 1. Our group covers more than 1000H. Stage 1 planning is important to encourage investors and make sure the Agribusiness Precinct is there for the new airport.

Further, I object to classification of 'potential conservation' for the area adjacent to the commercial end of the airport. It does not make sense and is environmentally flawed. A conservation zone would cut off the Precinct from the Aripport and harm the Airports ability to capitalise on the Agribusiness Precinct.

Thank you

Yours sincerely  
[REDACTED]