

[REDACTED]

From: system@acelo.com on behalf of Michael and Rachel Attard
Sent: [REDACTED]
Monday, 5 November 2018 2:33 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Submission Details for Michael and Rachel Attard (comments)
Attachments: 293041_Submission Details from Michael and Rachel Attard 20181102.pdf

Confidentiality Requested: no

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements:

Name: Michael and Rachel Attard
Email: Email not provided

Address:
Address not provided

[REDACTED]

Content:
Please see attached.

IP Address: - 141.243.33.161

Submission: Online Submission from Michael and Rachel Attard (comments)
https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=293041

Submission for Job: #9552
https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9552

Site: #0
https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

Wednesday, 24th October 2018

The Director
Aerotropolis Activation
Department of Planning
GPO Box 39
SYDNEY NSW 2001

Dear Minister Roberts,

Re: The proposed rezoning of South Creek Precinct

I am a resident of Rossmore [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] At the time of purchase we were not advised our property was to become zoned non – urban, flood zone land. In all the years we have resided here, our property has been flood free, as we had purchased it. Our property is situated [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] At the time of purchase it was with the knowledge our land was not in a 1:100 flood risk or worse as it is currently being proposed.

I have attended the presentations and exhibits set up by your departmental delegates at Bringelly Community Hall and I have significant concerns about the inconsistencies with respect to information provided to residents. There appears to be significant misrepresentations and this is of great concern for [REDACTED] This proposal has caused me significant amount of undue stress, sleeplessness and heartache. I run a [REDACTED] and need to remain alert at all times. This situation is not healthy at all.

However, as a businessman and resident [REDACTED] I do not oppose the need for development, infrastructure and overall progress and welcome the development of the Airport at Bradgery's Creek and the Aerotropolis. I recognize that it will bring about economic growth, business, café's and obviously increased employment opportunities along with tourism. Despite this I have significant concerns with regards to the current proposal which identifies my property and that of my fellow neighbours as non – urban/flood zone. This proposal has devalued my land considerably.

[REDACTED] To relocate this will be extremely expensive. What are the guarantees I will receive fair and equitable compensation for this relocation?

The financial and economic strain of this proposed re-zoning to my property will not only destroy me financially and cause significant strain on my health and future to my family it is also secured as my superannuation for [REDACTED] The devaluation of this

proposal has caused has now threatened our superannuation and thus our financial freedom at retirement, increasing the need to become reliant upon government support. I did not work as hard as I have to become reliant on government support in my older years. I have built this business up [REDACTED] with the support of my wife managing all our business administration and children. It is their financial security.

I note that Ms [REDACTED] has indicated in a meeting on 25/9/2018 with residents from Kelvin Park and Kemps Creek, South Creek Precinct we are not going to be zoned non-urban, can you confirm this is now the case? Can you also confirm what Rossmore and all areas zoned as part of South Creek Precinct is to be zoned? I refer to Mr [REDACTED] comments at the Council Forum held at Bringelly Hall on Wednesday 24th October 2018, with respect to the removal of the term Non-Urban will now be considered. I further refer to his comments at this same forum whereby he made representations compensation of land will be in accordance to the market value of property in surrounding suburbs such as South Camden and Luddenhm. I reside in Rossmore, my surrounding suburbs; include Austral, Oran Park, Leppington, Hoxton Park Bringelly – which is the heart of the Aerotropolis!

Therefore, I formerly submit the terms for Non-Urban is removed permanently or a reflection of these terms is removed from this proposal and any future proposals affecting the South Creek Precinct and my property and the 1:100 floods line is returned to their original boundary. It is my submission that the term Non –Urban for the following reasons:

1. I purchased my land outside the 1:100 flood lines
2. My property [REDACTED] in Rossmore and has never been affected by flooding or flash flooding
3. The rezoning of this proposal will cause my wife and I significant financial, economical and emotional losses.
4. Up keep of South Creek has been minimal causing poor water flow. Change to natural water easements on public land has also had significant impact to the water flow during rainy seasons. This should have been up held.
5. In accordance to the **ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING AND ASSESSMENT ACT 1979** – appears to provide that all alternatives should be taken into account prior to rezoning or development of land. I am yet to review such specific assessments conducted by Department of Planning and Environment as part of this proposal for the rezoning. There is no doubt water flow is required for such a mammoth project, however what alternatives have been considered with respect to this by your department and Department of Infrastructure? Again there appears to be no evidence available of such assessment and considerations made prior to the release of this proposal.
6. I further appreciate there must parcel of land set aside of parks and recreation and biodiversity needs. There is 200 acres of government owned land – known as the "Grange" a short walk from home. This to name one of many other pockets of vacant government land throughout South Creek Precinct. This would

more than satisfy the requirements of parks etc and not affect the residents of Rossmore and South Creek Precinct.

7. With respect with Non Urban, the removal of this term from the current and any future proposal for my suburb and that of the South Creek Precinct is recommended to be removed. It is my humble understanding the Land Acquisition (Fair Compensation) Act 1991 is available to ensure fair and equitable acquisition of land occurs. However I am significantly concerned with the Western City and Aerotropolis Authority Act 2018 No 53 and the power this enables such entities as the Department of Infrastructure and other departments to acquire our land for the benefit of "Progress" prior to the re-zoning and forcibly move us out of our homes with minimal notice. I have been here more than [REDACTED] years other residents within Rossmore have resided here for over [REDACTED] years.
8. Rossmore is compromised of residents and mixed business. From schools, shops, cafes and restaurants to auto repair shops and private business. It is there my final submission for our property to be zoned as commercial/industrial or medium to high density zoning and that of the entire South Creek Precinct.

On a final note in receiving compensation for the acquisition for part or all of home and land it is at a value which is fair and equitable to our neighbors within the Aerotropolis

I thank you for taking the time to read my submission and requesting to reconsider the current proposal.

Regards

[REDACTED]

Faint, illegible text at the top of the page, possibly a header or introductory paragraph.

A line of text or a separator line in the middle of the page.

A large, dense block of text at the bottom of the page, possibly a table or a list of items.