

[REDACTED]

From: system@acelo.com on behalf of Meryll Tomson <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, 5 November 2018 2:57 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Submission Details for Meryll Tomson (comments)
Attachments: 293090_Submission Details from Meryll Tomson 20181102.pdf

Confidentiality Requested: no

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements:

Name: Meryll Tomson

Email: [REDACTED]

Address:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Content:


Please see attached.

IP Address: - 141.243.33.161

Submission: Online Submission from Meryll Tomson (comments)
https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=293090


Submission for Job: #9552
https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9552

Site: #0
https://majorprojects.acelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0



Dear Sir/Madam,

Submission re: Western Sydney Aerotropolis Land Use Infrastructure Implementation Plan (WSA LUIP).

I am the owner of  which borders on the outside of the area of Agriculture and Agribusiness in the WSA LUIP. My site is partially affected by the planned OSO - with the remainder of my site to the west of the OSO. As a result I am unfairly excluded from the current LUIP. I am very disillusioned by all that has happened or not happened to affect my land and had hoped the LUIP with the release of the Agriculture and Agribusiness Precinct would give me important options on my land – which is not the case!

I do support the Agriculture and Agribusiness Precinct and believe it important for the success of the Aerotropolis.

I am also a member of the **Western Sydney Airport Agribusiness Landowner Group (WSAALG)** which is making our group submission through Think Planners. I endorse this submission and also make my own extra comment on the WSA LUIP.

For the AG Precinct to be successful it needs to be a size and shape to allow a proper working Precinct. The Precinct should be extended to the west - the OSO is NOT a relevant boundary for the Precinct. If it is eventually built, the OSO should be a spine for the Precinct not a theoretical, ill thought-out boundary!

I also would like to see the Agriculture and Agribusiness Precinct in Stage 1. Why delay! We are like-minded landowners covering an area of land around 1000h. Being in Stage 1 is important to give confidence for investors. The AG Precinct needs to be ready in time for the new airport so it can have a successful 24/7 cargo operation for high-value perishable food when it opens in 2026.

Further, classifying as 'potential conservation' the area adjacent to the cargo loading, logistics and commercial entry to the Airport is illogical, impractical and environmentally flawed. This land is already significantly cleared and does not have original native vegetation. Also, the area around the airport will be affected by fuel waste. It would be compromised by wildlife such as birds which can cause catastrophic hazard to planes and lives. A conservation zone would severely harm the Airports ability to capitalise on the AG Precinct.

Thank you for taking into account my concerns.

Yours sincerely

