

[REDACTED]

From: system@accelo.com on behalf of Lurline Gaven [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, 6 November 2018 2:01 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Submission Details for Lurline Gaven (comments)
Attachments: 293511_Submission Details from Lurlene Gaven 20181106.pdf

Confidentiality Requested: no

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements:

Name: Lurline Gaven

Email: [REDACTED]

Address:
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Content:

Please see attached.

IP Address: [REDACTED]

Submission: Online Submission from Lurline Gaven (comments)

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=293511

Submission for Job: #9552

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9552

Site: #0

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0

1st November 2018

Attn: Department of Planning
WSA Land Use Infrastructure Implementation Plan (LUIIP).

I own [REDACTED] - which is:

- Just outside of the Agriculture and Agribusiness Area in the WSA LUIIP.
- Affected by the planned M9
- Affected by the proposed Conservation Area.

I am so disappointed by the treatment of my land. I am inexplicably excluded from the LUIIP Agriculture Area - I expected the LUIIP would give options on my land but no...

I think the Agriculture and Agribusiness Area is a good idea and want to be included.

I am also in the **Western Sydney Airport Agribusiness Landowner Group (WSAALG)** which is making a submission through Think Planners. I support this submission and also submit my own extra comments.

Firstly, classifying my site as 'potential conservation' does not make sense. My land is cleared Rural Lifestyle. Having a Conservation area forced onto the land beside the airport and its commercial entry does not make sense. Its vegetation is not any more special than all the other areas further around and included in the airport. Why has my site and those beside me been singled out?! It is not environmentally sensitive land. This land is cleared and does not have original vegetation. Also, the area around the airport will be affected by AV gas. Flight safety would be compromised by encouraging wildlife – as we all know birds can cause catastrophic problems for plane engines. Making this a conservation zone would be unfair to me and my neighbours and undermine the Airports safety and its connection to the AG Precinct.

Also, why is the M9 the boundary for the Agribusiness Area? It needs to be larger and go more west beside the airport– to complement the location near the airport. There should be room for all Agriculture support businesses. The M9 is not the right boundary for the Agribusiness Area. The M9 should service the Area not be a border!!!!!!

The Agribusiness Area should be Stage 1. It needs proper planning for roads and internal infrastructure. Our group wants to make things happen quickly. We are around 1000h and if we are in planned for now then people will invest sooner rather than later. The Agribusiness Area should time itself with the opening of the airport in 2026 - with its 24hrs and 7 days a week cargo – ideal for high-value and perishable food.

Thank you for addressing this letter

[REDACTED]