

Sarah Ng

From: Anthony Tavella on behalf of DPE PS ePlanning Exhibitions Mailbox
Sent: Wednesday, 7 October 2020 4:00 PM
To: DPE PS Biodiversity Mailbox
Subject: FW: Webform submission from: Draft Cumberland Plain Conservation Plan
Attachments: final-eco-letter-06-10-2020.pdf

From: noreply@feedback.planningportal.nsw.gov.au <noreply@feedback.planningportal.nsw.gov.au>
Sent: Wednesday, 7 October 2020 12:59 PM
To: DPE PS ePlanning Exhibitions Mailbox <eplanning.exhibitions@planning.nsw.gov.au>
Subject: Webform submission from: Draft Cumberland Plain Conservation Plan

Submitted on Wed, 07/10/2020 - 12:56
Submitted by: Anonymous
Submitted values are:
Submission Type: I am making a personal submission
First Name: [REDACTED]
Last Name: [REDACTED]
Name Withheld: Yes
Email: [REDACTED]
Suburb/Town & Postcode: Orchard Hills 2748
Submission file:
[final-eco-letter-06-10-2020.pdf](#)

Submission: We object to the proposed E2 "Conservation Zoning" over our property. The property is currently zoned RU4 primary production and utilised as rural living with farming activities. The E2 zone as proposed under the plan is inconsistent with current zone objectives and landuse/permmissibility. The attached report prepared by Mr John Whyte accredited Assessor [REDACTED] under the Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016 has found the vegetation upon our property to be of "Low condition" Cumberland Plains Woodland. E2 zones are typically established over areas of High ecological Value which our property is absent off. Further investigation/studies should be undertaken before proceeding with E2 zoning changes under the draft plan.

URL: <https://pp.planningportal.nsw.gov.au/draftplans/exhibition/draft-cumberland-plain-conservation-plan>

2nd of October 2020

Attention: Miss Tanya Davies (MP)
Member for Mulgoa
Po Box 316
St Clair, NSW, 2759

**SUBMISSION ON THE DRAFT CUMBERLAND PLAIN CONSERVATION
PLAN AT 47-53 THE CHASE ORCHARD HILLS NSW**

Dear Miss Davies,

EE have been engaged by the [REDACTED] to prepare a submission with regards to the Cumberland Plain Conservation Plan (CPCP).

[REDACTED] currently reside at No [REDACTED] Orchard Hills 2748 Lot/Section/Plan no: [REDACTED]. The site is currently zoned RU4 "Primary Production Small Lots" under the Penrith Local Environmental Plan 2010.

In preparing a response to the draft CPCP EE undertook a site inspection over No 47-53 on the 11th of September 2020.

The site is currently mapped as containing Cumberland Plains Woodland (Figure 1) which listed as a critically endangered ecological community (CEEC) under the *Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016*. The conservation of CEE CPW forms part of the draft CPCP.

Mr John Whyte

(B.Bio.Sc Majors Botany & Zoology)


Enviro Ecology
PO Box 345
Ourimbah 2250
NSW Australia
Mobile: 0402592399
Web: www.enviroecology.com.au

Practicing Member of Ecological
Consultants Association of NSW (ECA)

Section 132 C Scientific Licence No:
SL100292. Expiry September 2019

Animal Research Authority: Expiry 30th of
April 2020 Trim file; 10/1887 DG ACEC

Figure 1 vegetation mapping over the subject property



Under the draft CPCP the subject property is proposed to be rezoned from RU4 "Primary Production Small Lots" to E2 "Conservation". The current objectives and permissible land uses for RU4 zone is presented below.

Extract from LEP 2010 below

1 Objectives of zone

- *To enable sustainable primary industry and other compatible land uses.*
- *To encourage and promote diversity and employment opportunities in relation to primary industry enterprises, particularly those that require smaller lots or that are more intensive in nature.*
- *To minimise conflict between land uses within this zone and land uses within adjoining zones.*
- *To ensure land uses are of a scale and nature that is compatible with the environmental capabilities of the land.*
- *To preserve and improve natural resources through appropriate land management practices.*
- *To maintain the rural landscape character of the land.*
- *To ensure that development does not unreasonably increase the demand for public services or facilities.*

2 Permitted without consent

Extensive agriculture; Home occupations

3 Permitted with consent

Agricultural produce industries; Agriculture; Animal boarding or training establishments; Aquaculture; Building identification signs; Business identification signs; Cellar door premises; Cemeteries; Community facilities; Crematoria; Dual occupancies; Dwelling houses; Environmental facilities; Environmental protection works; Farm buildings; Flood mitigation works; Home-based child care; Home businesses; Home industries; Intensive plant agriculture; Information and education facilities; Places of public worship; Plant nurseries; Recreation areas; Recreation facilities (outdoor); Roads; Roadside stalls; Rural supplies; Schools; Secondary dwellings; Tourist and visitor accommodation; Veterinary hospitals

4 Prohibited

Dairies (restricted); Feedlots; Hotel or motel accommodation; Serviced apartments; Any other development not specified in item 2 or 3

The current zoning "RU4" is appropriate for this property, the subject lot currently contains a single dwelling, sheds and farming land which is consistent with the zoning permissibility and zone objectives above.

Under the draft CPCP the land is proposed to be rezoned to E2 'Conservation'. The relevant zone objectives for an E2 zone under the Penrith LEP 2010 are extracted below.

Under an E2 zoning a dwelling cannot be constructed upon the land and the land cannot be utilised in the same capacity that is currently permissible. The land effectively is being "downzoned".

Zone E2 Environmental Conservation

1 Objectives of zone

- To protect, manage and restore areas of high ecological, scientific, cultural or aesthetic values.*
- To prevent development that could destroy, damage or otherwise have an adverse effect on those values.*
- To protect, manage, restore and enhance the ecology, hydrology and scenic values of riparian corridors and waterways, wetlands, groundwater resources, biodiversity corridors, areas of remnant indigenous vegetation and dependent ecosystems.*
- To allow for low impact passive recreational and ancillary land uses that are consistent with the retention of the natural ecological significance.*

2 Permitted without consent

Nil

3 Permitted with consent

Environmental facilities; Environmental protection works; Flood mitigation works; Oyster aquaculture; Recreation areas; Roads

4 Prohibited

Business premises; Hotel or motel accommodation; Industries; Multi dwelling housing; Pond-based aquaculture; Recreation facilities (major); Residential flat buildings; Restricted premises; Retail premises; Seniors housing; Service stations; Tank-based aquaculture; Warehouse or distribution centres; Any other development not specified in item 2 or 3

In preparing strategic conservation plans detailed investigations should be undertaken to identify as per the E2 zone objectives “*high ecological, scientific, cultural or aesthetic values*”.

The existing allotment contains grazing pasture with scattered trees some of which form part of critically endangered ecological community known as Cumberland Plains Woodland. The presence of an CEEC does not automatically qualify as being “High Ecological Value”.

During the site investigation a biodiversity assessment plot was placed over the subject lot to determine and measure the biodiversity values in accordance with the “Biodiversity Assessment Method” (BAM) an approved methodology under legislation for measuring biodiversity values utilised for the identification of lands with “Good Condition” vegetation.

The BAM plot identified that the CPW present within the subject property was in “Low condition” due to the absence of biodiversity features including but not limited to the following “no hollow-bearing trees”, low species richness, low species diversity, high weed invasion, low % native vegetation cover”.

The current land zoning “RU4” is appropriate and still retains the remnant CPW, the proposed zoning “E2” is not consistent with the current permissibility over the subject property.

The land use planning has failed to adequately undertake the appropriate studies/investigations to warrant such “downzoning”. The draft CPCW should be deferred until such time that the appropriate investigation and studies have been completed to demonstrate that the land does in fact contain ‘High Ecological Values’ to warrant an E2 “Conservation” zoning.

If you would like to discuss any of the provided information further or have any queries, please do not hesitate to contact me on [REDACTED]

Yours sincerely

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Principal Ecologist

Enviro Ecology

[REDACTED]