

## Sarah Ng

---

**From:** Anthony Tavella on behalf of DPE PS ePlanning Exhibitions Mailbox  
**Sent:** Friday, 9 October 2020 11:09 AM  
**To:** DPE PS Biodiversity Mailbox  
**Subject:** FW: Webform submission from: Draft Cumberland Plain Conservation Plan

---

**From:** noreply@feedback.planningportal.nsw.gov.au <noreply@feedback.planningportal.nsw.gov.au>  
**Sent:** Thursday, 8 October 2020 8:10 PM  
**To:** DPE PS ePlanning Exhibitions Mailbox <eplanning.exhibitions@planning.nsw.gov.au>  
**Subject:** Webform submission from: Draft Cumberland Plain Conservation Plan

Submitted on Thu, 08/10/2020 - 20:09

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Submission Type: I am making a personal submission

First Name: [REDACTED]

Last Name: [REDACTED]

Name Withheld: Yes

Email: [REDACTED]

Suburb/Town & Postcode: Kemps Creek 2178

Submission file: [webform\_submission:values:submission\_file]

Submission: I am writing to make a submission regarding the conservation plan which affects my property at [REDACTED] Kemps Creek. In your plan and documents you have stated that there was community drop in sessions where residents were generally accepting of the exhibitions and you had good feedback. I attended 4 sessions and this was definitely not the case. Most residents along with myself were very concerned with the areas highlighted for parkland, conservation, flood affectation, etc. There was security guards at some of the sessions. We also made note that to locate any of the information online was incredibly challenging and a very timely process. It was easy to miss important information. A high percentage of the property owners were not computer users and had no idea of what was happening around their property. We asked for more direct communication where we can be more directly informed and included of the plans around our properties. The level of flood and conservation area in the plan seems extensive when you consider our close proximity to the Blue Mountains and surrounding bushlands. We see and continue to see many areas where there is extensive cut and fill on developments, which also includes the removal of many trees and fauna. On my property the plan is to have a large conservation area that I think is too big. We have not had any direct consultation to discuss the exact areas and methodology that have been highlighted on your spatial viewer. The areas highlighted seem to restrict my access to the rear of my property, which limits the use and value of my property. I have discussed the inclusion of my property in the [REDACTED] Precinct given our location on [REDACTED] the close proximity to the airport along with the need for more employment area which was the main driver for the Aerotropolis. The need for employment land is highlighted in many studies that I have read and in the Draft Aerotropolis plan. Please consider this when finalising your plans and increase the developable areas rather than increasing the parklands. I do recognise the importance of clean waterways, trees, open spaces to protect our land and improve our way of life. These are the reasons why I live on a rural property but things around me are changing quickly. We will have significant infrastructure and developments within very close proximity and will change my quality of life. I also make note of the flight path over my property. I ask to be included in the Mamre Road Precinct, to have the land on the western side of Kemps Creek to also be included in an employment zone and the conservation area be kept to an area that we both agree on. To not include some properties will leave pockets of properties that will not work well with employment precincts. In my case, I will have the M7 1000 metres from my boundary, a huge rail compound next to the M7, a 70 acre water treatment plant around 500 metres from my rear boundary, the widening of Mamre road, employment zone directly across the road, employment zone 600 metres north of me and 24 our planes over my roof or very close to it. This will not match the Aerotropolis plan for a futuristic clean city. There will be science parks and horse stables next door to each other, properties with many dumped cars, glass houses and rubbish next door to new glass buildings, market gardeners next door to housing estates. There will also be too many inconsistencies and also issues with service access for power, water, gas and sewer going through developable and non developable land. I am generally a big supporter of the Aerotropolis and the great future I see for the following generations. It will be a very satisfying time to see how all the plans play out given I have lived in the middle of it. The recent release of more precincts will see better developments progress and developers following strict development guidelines. I am a big advocate to see the land rezoned and developers doing the job properly. This will benefit the community greatly. I look forward to more community engagement and to be included in more discussions regarding development around my property and how it will affect me. Regards  
[REDACTED]

URL: <https://pp.planningportal.nsw.gov.au/draftplans/exhibition/draft-cumberland-plain-conservation-plan>