

[REDACTED]

From: noreply@feedback.planningportal.nsw.gov.au on behalf of Planning Portal - Department of Planning and Environment
<noreply@feedback.planningportal.nsw.gov.au>
Sent: Wednesday, 28 April 2021 12:35 PM
To: PDPS DRDE Design and Places SEPP Mailbox
Cc: DPE PS ePlanning Exhibitions Mailbox
Subject: Webform submission from: Design and Place State Environmental Planning Policy (SEPP)

Submitted on Wed, 28/04/2021 - 12:34

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Submission Type

I am making a personal submission

Name

First name

[REDACTED]

Last name

[REDACTED]

I would like my submission to remain confidential

No

Info

Email

[REDACTED]

Suburb/Town & Postcode

Drummoyne 2047

Submission

I am a volunteer on the Canada Bay Council Environmental Sustainability Committee.

An issue that frequently comes up is the weakness of the BASIX methodologies when it comes to requiring new buildings to be environmentally sustainable.

eg the Council had to devise and run a campaign to encourage unit blocks to use LED lighting which is more expensive in the short term but long term has an economic as well as an environmental benefit. If BASIX requirements were stronger Council would be able to mandate this form of lighting, saving the time and expense of trying to persuade Owners Corporations and reducing carbon emissions.

There are many other examples. Councils should be required to use BASIX as a tool for reducing carbon emissions in the construction and use of new buildings. I'm sure Councils would be very happy to comply. BASIX needs to be strengthened.

I agree to the above statement

Yes

