

[REDACTED]

From: noreply@feedback.planningportal.nsw.gov.au on behalf of Planning Portal - Department of Planning and Environment
<noreply@feedback.planningportal.nsw.gov.au>
Sent: Wednesday, 28 April 2021 10:15 AM
To: PDPS DRDE Design and Places SEPP Mailbox
Cc: DPE PS ePlanning Exhibitions Mailbox
Subject: Webform submission from: Design and Place State Environmental Planning Policy (SEPP)

Submitted on Wed, 28/04/2021 - 10:15

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Submission Type

I am making a personal submission

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

I would like my submission to remain confidential

No

Info

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Suburb/Town & Postcode

2132

Submission

To the SEPP team at the DPIE,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the SEPP. I am on maternity leave with a toddler and a baby, so this will be a shorter submission than I would normally prepare.

I request that the following points be included in the SEPP:

1. Only projects that are demonstrably carbon neutral be approved by the SEPP.
2. No new residential buildings to be connected to the gas network, and that any new commercial or industrial buildings be required to prove that they require a gas connection.
3. That a 20 year Global Warming Potential for methane be used to calculate the climate impact rather than the 100 year GWP. More details can be found here: <https://www.climatecouncil.org.au/resources/passing-gas-renewables-are-future/>
4. That the Kigali Amendment inform the policy within the SEPP relating to refrigerant use in air conditioning systems. We need to phase out refrigerants with a high GWP.
5. (If this is within the scope) That insulation installation be performed by licensed installers to ensure good installation. For context, a 5% gap in insulation can lead to a 50% drop in performance.
6. That builders, draughts people, and architects be required to undertake continued professional development in order to improve the level of sustainability, climate resilience, and emission minimisation in the NSW built environment.

7. That design for walking, cycling and public transport use be prioritised over design for private vehicles.
8. That climate sensitive design be prioritised. For example, urban heating should be minimised by including trees with a spreading canopy in median strips, that water retention be improved by preferencing non-permeable surfaces in the built environment, etc.
9. That apartment developments such as those built by Nightingale Housing Limited be given preference over "traditional" development. That is, housing that is aggressively affordable (both upfront and operationally), sustainable and healthy, designed around community, designed for use with public and active transport (i.e. no parking spaces), and with social housing. More information can be found here: <https://nightingalehousing.org/nightingale-principles>
10. Reject the flexible approach discussed in the Draft document. Principles such as those discussed in points 8 and 9 above should not be able to be "traded" away by developers.

This is an opportunity to make housing and the built environment more fair, healthy, and resilient. Please ensure this happens, both for us and for our children and grandchildren.

Yours sincerely,



I agree to the above statement

Yes