

From: noreply@feedback.planningportal.nsw.gov.au on behalf of [Planning Portal - Department of Planning and Environment](#)
To: [DPIE PA SS Employment Zones Mailbox](#)
Cc: [DPE PS ePlanning Exhibitions Mailbox](#)
Subject: Webform submission from: Employment Zones Reform
Date: Friday, 21 May 2021 11:11:17 AM

Submitted on Fri, 21/05/2021 - 11:10

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Submission Type

I am making a personal submission

Name

First name

Louise

Last name

Bennett

I would like my submission to remain confidential

No

Info

Email

[REDACTED]

Suburb/Town & Postcode

[REDACTED]

Submission

While I fully support much needed reforms to the existing business zones framework, a glaring issue that I need to express immediately, despite intending on preparing a more thorough and considered submission at a later time, is that there are already E Zones in the Standard Instrument LEP.

Environmental Zones and referred to as E Zones, and are clearly and unarguably labelled E1 (National Parks), E2 (Environmental Conservation, E3 (Environmental Management)...etc.

Referring to employment lands as E Zones as well is unacceptable. This will result in mass confusion in the community and is totally unnecessary. There are 20 or so other letters in the alphabet that could be used, that are not already used in dereference to other zones.

'E Zones' as a phrase is particularly sensitive in the northern rivers region, where the E Zone review process and final recommendations was a BIG deal, and is as we speak the various Councils in the region are at different stages of introducing the standard instrument E Zones (Environmental Zones) to their LEPs to resolve the deferred matters issue that arose from the E Zone review. It is an extraordinarily sensitive topic and has to be handled with extreme caution so it is palatable and acceptable by the community.

This business zone reform package could not come at a more inappropriate time, if the careless and inconsiderate use of the phrase E Zone is to be implemented into LEPs over the coming 12 months in reference to zones that are not for the purposes of environmental protection.

I implore DPIE to reconsider the use of the letter E for the new employment land zones.

I agree to the above statement

Yes