

From: [Anthony Tavella](#)
To: [Jon Stone](#)
Subject: FW: Webform submission from: Draft Master Plan for the Iron Gates Residential Release at Evans Head
Date: Friday, 6 December 2019 4:16:17 PM

From: noreply@feedback.planningportal.nsw.gov.au
<noreply@feedback.planningportal.nsw.gov.au>
Sent: Friday, 6 December 2019 3:49 PM
To: DPE PS ePlanning Exhibitions Mailbox <eplanning.exhibitions@planning.nsw.gov.au>
Subject: Webform submission from: Draft Master Plan for the Iron Gates Residential Release at Evans Head

Submitted on Fri, 06/12/2019 - 15:49

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Submission Type: I am making a personal submission

First Name: [REDACTED]

Last Name: [REDACTED]

Name Withheld: Yes

Email: [REDACTED]

Suburb/Town & Postcode: Tucki Tucki

Submission file: [webform_submission:values:submission_file]

Submission: I object to the The Draft Master Plan for Iron Gates development at Evans Head as it is a document using language such as "unlikely to impact" or "no impact" with little actual proof of these statements as the conclusions are drawn from inadequate and incomplete information provided as verification. State Environmental Planning Policies specify clear and precise procedures for developers but the studies and conclusions in this Draft Master Plan gloss over and dismiss very important impacts this development will have. This development will do serious and irreversible damage to the environment with both direct and indirect negative impacts on biodiversity, habitat, wetlands, fish habitat and cultural heritage of the area. Yet this Draft Masterplan concludes "no impact", "not expected to impact" or "unlikely to impact" in many instances which is a subjective view. It is impossible to claim "no impact of fish and marine vegetation expected" - see Revised Master Plan Page 16 of 18 178 Lot Subdivision Project No: GOL 16/174 - Revised October 2019 Iron Gates Drive, Evans Head - Table 1 2q (q) conservation of fish (within the meaning of Part 7A of the Fisheries Management Act 1994) and marine vegetation (within the meaning of that Part), and their habitats. Response- Not relevant - no impact of fish and marine vegetation expected (see Annexures 7 and 8) The site contains mapped fish habitat and wetland areas but the endangered species Oxlyean Pygmy Perch is dismissed completely. How can the developer claim NO IMPACT from 184 lot subdivision with roads, drainage, earthworks and the associated grubbiness of thousands of people living on site ? Of course there will be at least 'some' impact - No impact is not an acceptable conclusion. Bushfire Annexure report is over 2 & ½ years old, the vegetation on site will have changed significantly since then. The Bush fire report states the potential to impact road access is "unlikely" - again generalising situations with non specific language. 2.6 Discussion concludes the trees that may fall on the road are "likely to be easily brushed past or driven over" This is not an

acceptable risk during a bush fire emergency. Fire service persons lives should not be put into such a risky situation. One of the vague plan maps show in the legend 07 Possible Access to Water near the public reserve areas. I was unable to find any details to explain the plans or impacts for this water access.

URL: <https://pp.planningportal.nsw.gov.au/draftplans/exhibition/iron-gates>