

From: noreply@feedback.planningportal.nsw.gov.au on behalf of [Planning Portal - Department of Planning and Environment](#)
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Rosebery Estate
Date: Friday, 29 January 2021 5:29:54 PM

Submitted on Fri, 29/01/2021 - 17:28

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Submission Type

I am making a personal submission

Name

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

I would like my submission to remain confidential

Yes

Info

Email

[REDACTED]

Suburb/Town & Postcode

Rosebery 2018

Submission

My Parents and I moved into Rosebery in 1959 and lived in my current location until their passing , my Mother in 2017 and my Father in 2020 . I have lived in Rosebery , Eastlakes and Caringbah since 1959 and am always drawn to the unique nature of Rosebery . The covenant established a common building code with specific building materials and design which enhanced the presentation of homes in this estate . It was established in 1914 and had a Residential and light Industry component in its establishment . Homes were of modest size , either 2 or 3 bedroom dwellings and the parcels of land were quite large and varied according to the developers breakdown of the land they had to work with . I believe that Council boundaries allowed for slight variation on size but the basic rules of frontage , design (double fronted , Brick and tile construction and single story was maintained . On purchase of a property in Rosebery the Covenant was seen as the unique feature of this Estate . As a result home prices were higher than the surrounding suburbs of Waterloo , Zetland , Mascot , Kingsford and Botany . From the mid 1960's some odd projects breached the Covenant. Since the State Government introduce State Standard Building Codes this has escalated to blatant breaches of the covenant with 2 story homes being built without the opportunity to appeal against their construction . Different types of building material have also been allowed and the general uniformity of buildings has changed tremendously . I lived in the Sutherland Shire almost 30 years and have seen the construction of duplexes , townhouse , villas which have very little character and is making the suburbs in the shire heavily dense causing traffic build up and little area for parking . The same situation has occurred in Mascot and the development at Green Square is also increasing the population and its toll on the environment and infrastructure. I believe that if the Covenant is upheld and the control again goes back to the Local Council (City of Sydney) the unique nature of a uniform construction code would enhance the estate and allow people to live on a large block of land with plenty of flora and trees to beautify and ensure the environmental impact would counter balance the over development of the areas/suburbs around Rosebery . We should be learning from the impact medium to high density projects have done to our suburbs which are so close to the Sydney CBD and restrict these developments in established areas which had Covenants placed on them for this reason . I plan to live here for the rest of my life and if I require to extend or change the premises I would want to comply to the current Covenant to preserve the uniqueness of this Estate . I hope the 2 year extension allows our Town Planners to appreciate the unique nature of Rosebery .

I agree to the above statement

Yes