

From: noreply@feedback.planningportal.nsw.gov.au on behalf of [Planning Portal - Department of Planning and Environment](#)
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Rosebery Estate
Date: Friday, 29 January 2021 6:02:20 PM

Submitted on Fri, 29/01/2021 - 18:00

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Submission Type

I am making a personal submission

Name

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

I would like my submission to remain confidential

Yes

Info

Email

[REDACTED]

Suburb/Town & Postcode

Rosebery

Submission

I am a resident of Rosebery for over 10 years.

There is no need for a two year SEPP exclusion for Rosebery.

A minority group of Rosebery residents is behind the campaigning to exclude Rosebery from the SEPP. This group has also been responsible for bullying other residents and property owners in relation to planned building works which would have been compliant under the SEPP and CDC. Affected property owners have been materially adversely impacted by this.

The majority of Rosebery residents do not support the exclusion from the SEPP (temporary or otherwise). However, many of these residents do not feel comfortable with a submission to the Department.

The 1914 covenant is quite simply no longer appropriate for the area more than 100 years after its origin. The concept of single storey housing without off-street parking within walking distance of the factories where residents were employed is clearly no longer relevant. Ironically, almost all of the factories and warehouses of the time have now been converted into high density apartments with the blessing of the City of Sydney and predecessor councils.

The 1914 covenant is inconsistent with the intention of the SEPP.

For decades, more than half of the properties in Rosebery have not been fully compliant with the historic covenant. Virtually all properties which have been upgraded in recent years, are appropriate for the area. In many respects, those properties which have been renovated under City of Sydney DAs have stretched much further from the original covenant requirements than those under complying development.

There is no dual occupancy concern or risks within Rosebery and proposals for such would require City of Sydney development approval.

There are many houses in Rosebery in a state of neglect because the owners are unclear of what improvements can be made because of the written comments distributed by members of the community supporting the SEPP exclusion.

The Department does not need two years to determine the impact of current legislation on the 1914 covenant. I am certain that the Department can immediately obtain legal advice on this and, if necessary, implement the necessary regulatory guidance.

Thank you

I agree to the above statement

Yes