

From: noreply@feedback.planningportal.nsw.gov.au on behalf of [Planning Portal - Department of Planning and Environment](#)
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Rosebery Estate
Date: Friday, 29 January 2021 8:07:01 PM

Submitted on Fri, 29/01/2021 - 20:05

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Submission Type

I am making a personal submission

Name

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

I would like my submission to remain confidential

Yes

Info

Email

[REDACTED]

& Postcode

2018

Submission

I am a resident and property owner in the Rosebery Estate where I live with my family.

I OPPOSE the proposal to exempt the Rosebery Estate from the Housing Code and Low Rise Housing Diversity Code.

I do not see any just reason why Rosebery should be exempted from the cost or excluded from the benefit of these complying development codes.

Personally I would like to modify my dwelling in a way that would not comply with the 1914 covenant but would comply with the codes, in order to accommodate my family.

I do not think it reasonable to require home owners to apply for development consent - a demonstrably more costly, uncertain and time consuming process in this local government area. That is particularly so where the City of Sydney has demonstrated a clear intention to resist development not in keeping with the covenant.

The complying development codes provide a more affordable and predictable path for home owners. Don't condemn us to the uncertainty of the consent process. The possibility that a particular homeowner might build what I or some other resident regards as aesthetically unappealing or 'out of place' is a privilege that should accompany home ownership and is a function of diversity in the true sense. It is also a price I am willing to pay in order to secure the choice that comes with the CDC alternative. There are numerous non complying homes (many more than 16) in Rosebery some I find have more aesthetic appeal than others. To me some of them have no appeal. There is variety.

The idea that the Rosebery estate would lose its character if the covenant is not enforced ignores the fact that many homes already exceed its constraints and that the community is diverse in peoples and opinions.

It also overstates the idea that there is any broad appeal to the community in confining homes to a single level or set back or to meet the century old ideals of the subdivision plan intended to house workers for the nearby industrial complex that is all but gone. Uniformity might appeal to the passerby or the lord of the manor but not so much to residents.

Also please be aware that a number of my neighbours are elderly and have resided in Rosebery for many decades in some cases. None have expressed any support for the proposal to exempt Rosebery from the Codes. I doubt they have the wherewithal or means to make a submission.

I agree to the above statement

Yes