

From: noreply@feedback.planningportal.nsw.gov.au on behalf of [Planning Portal - Department of Planning and Environment](#)
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Rosebery Estate
Date: Saturday, 26 December 2020 10:22:59 AM

Submitted on Sat, 26/12/2020 - 10:22

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Submission Type

I am making a personal submission

Name

First name

Kelan

Last name

Raffo

I would like my submission to remain confidential

No

Info

Email

[REDACTED]

Suburb/Town & Postcode

2018

Submission

I have been a Rosebery resident for more than 15 years. In the last 5 an alarming amount of development has occurred in rosebery (particularly knockdown-rebuilds), detracting from the collective beauty of Rosebery. This is of course in my opinion.

Providing guidance for what is considered appropriate for the suburb is given guidance by the Covenant regarding the Rosebery Estate. This covenant has been loosely applied since its inception, but is a necessary and great tool for maintaining Rosebery's character.

The framework provided by the Covenant allows Sydney City Council, with an appropriate length of time, to consult with neighbours and other residents - and determine somewhat more objectively if a proposed house modification conforms with Rosebery's beautiful and threatened character.

In the most recent heritage report of Rosebery, I understand not a great deal of houses are perfectly conforming with the Covenant. The validity of the Covenant may be then seen as poor. However - this is not the current residents fault that oversight has been lacking. If greater protections were afforded in the past then we would many more beautiful homes.

Private certifiers and small windows of limited community consultation have the most reduced capacity to foster the continued preservation of this character. Adjunct to this neighbourly disputes are swept under the rug because proper and necessary considerations in the approval process are not voiced as a result of the few days DA submissions can be made.

The exclusion of Rosebery from the Low-Medium density code for 2 years is a bare minimum. This should be made permanent.

I agree to the above statement

Yes