

From: noreply@feedback.planningportal.nsw.gov.au on behalf of [Planning Portal - Department of Planning and Environment](#)
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Rosebery Estate
Date: Wednesday, 27 January 2021 12:13:28 AM

Submitted on Wed, 27/01/2021 - 00:11

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Submission Type

I am making a personal submission

Name

First name

Maryann

Last name

Twitchings

I would like my submission to remain confidential

No

Info

Email

[REDACTED]

Suburb/Town & Postcode

Rosebery 2018

Submission

I am against the State governments proposals to change the covenant that has protected the Rosebery estate from becoming an area filled with McMansions that invade the privacy that we have enjoyed.

Why can you not leave this one small section of a suburb with its single storey California bungalow style homes?

The Government can turn the entire Sydney area into multi storey high rise, dual occupancy, 2,3,4 storey homes but you have a tiny part of a suburb that would like to retain its original character. Its visionary original owner and developer had the foresight to protect it with the covenant to allow the workers to enjoy the large parcel of land they built their double brick dwellings on to relax with privacy.

The land parcels are large enough that there is no need to build 2 storey homes on, there is already provision and many properties that have granny flats or studios in the rear of the property so what is the need to have a multi storey building except to turn them into boarding houses!

These larger developments are already causing a huge impact on the ability to park all the cars that come with large developments. Councils don't enforce the ability to garage on site parking for every unit in the large developments especially in Green Square so we have them parking their cars, boats and caravans in our streets.

Please leave Rosebery out of the dual occupancy, duplex development and let us keep our character we want our covenants protection to remain and that council enforce its requirements not individual owners having to become embroiled in legal action!

I agree to the above statement

Yes