

[REDACTED]

---

**From:** noreply@feedback.planningportal.nsw.gov.au  
**Sent:** Friday, 4 February 2022 6:02 PM  
**To:** DPE CSE Pyrmont Peninsula Mailbox  
**Cc:** DPE PS ePlanning Exhibitions Mailbox  
**Subject:** Webform submission from: Pyrmont Peninsula sub-precinct master plans

Submitted on Fri, 04/02/2022 - 18:01

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

David

**Last name**

Ford

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Pyrmont 2009

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the underlying assumption that the best way to develop Pyrmont is to have more people, more activity at all hours, and more noise. As the documents acknowledge, Pyrmont already has a high density residential population. That situation cannot now be ignored. Most people live on a normal cycle of being awake during the day and evening and sleeping during the night. They don't want a 24 hour economy with 24 hour disruption to our residential right to the quiet enjoyment of our homes. There is already more than enough noise at night.

I also object to the references to the Darling Island sub-precinct as "a mixed use recreational, cultural and entertainment destination" as it ignores the fact that many people live on Darling Island - far more than work there!

I also object to the Star's ongoing wish to ignore existing planning rules, particularly in relation to its proposed towers which it would see being some 4 times the height which the area has enjoyed and benefitted from for years. Pyrmont does not need or deserve tall towers with wind tunnels and shadowing. There are no public benefit outcomes from these proposals. Getting money from the Star for affordable housing is not a benefit. Rather, it is akin to the Star bribing government to get its way.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes